

Journal Pre-proof

Jet Needle Inner Diameter: A Key Factor in Modulating Ventilation Outcomes in Clinical Practice-An Overlooked Determinant

Jian-Liang Peng Jing Lv Li-Gang Shan



PII: S0300-2896(24)00270-9

DOI: <https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.arbres.2024.07.008>

Reference: ARBRES 3624

To appear in: *Archivos de Bronconeumología*

Received Date: 16 April 2024

Accepted Date: 6 July 2024

Please cite this article as: Peng J-Liang, Lv J, Shan L-Gang, Jet Needle Inner Diameter: A Key Factor in Modulating Ventilation Outcomes in Clinical Practice-An Overlooked Determinant, *Archivos de Bronconeumología* (2024), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2024.07.008>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of SEPAR.

Scientific letter

Jet Needle Inner Diameter: A Key Factor in Modulating Ventilation Outcomes in Clinical Practice-An Overlooked Determinant

Running Title: Jet Needle Diameter and Ventilation Outcomes

Authors : Jian-Liang Peng^{*,1}, Jing Lv^{*,1}, Li-Gang Shan¹

Jian-Liang Peng and Jing Lv contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence: Li-Gang Shan

E-mail: slg2023@xmmc.edu.cn

¹Department of Anesthesiology, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xiamen Medical College, Xiamen, China

Jet ventilation, a technique involving intermittent occlusion of airflow from a high-pressure source through a pneumatic or electronically controlled interruption device, generates a tidal volume (V_T) through a combination of driving airflow and entrained air via the Venturi effect, a phenomenon where high-speed airflow draws in surrounding air.¹ Key clinical variables include ventilation frequency (F), driving pressure (DP), inspiratory-to-expiratory ratio (I:E), and the angle and entry depth of jet needle. The resultant V_T to the lungs is influenced by factors such as the volume of the driving gas, the efficiency of Venturi entrainment, and the physiological condition of the lungs.^{2,3} Monitoring ventilation, especially airway pressure and carbon dioxide level, poses challenges, potentially leading to inadequate or excessive ventilation.⁴ Inadequate ventilation can result in hypoxemia and hypercapnia, while prolonged

exposure to high tidal volumes increases the risk of volume-pressure-related lung injury.⁵

V_T is not directly adjustable and results from the interplay of factors such as DP, jet needle (casing) resistance, inspiratory time, entrainment volume, and respiratory system impedance (lung compliance, tracheal resistance).⁶ Notably, the inner diameter (ID) of the jet needle is overlooked in clinical practice, with no industry standards referencing its importance.

In this study, a jet ventilator (TwinStream™, Austria) connected to the normal-frequency jet tube, creating a link to a simulated airway (PE plastic pipe with a ID of 14 mm and a length of 20 cm). Three types of needles (ID: $N_1=1.2$ mm, $N_2=1.6$ mm, $N_3=1.9$ mm) with lengths of 25 mm were selected. The one-way valve function of the DrägerFabius anesthesia machine's integrated air circuit (Germany) was utilized and connected to a simulated lung (DrägerSelfTestLung™, Germany, compliance: 45 mL/cmH₂O). A gas-collecting plastic bag was utilized to collect exhalation flow, and the gas volume was quantified using a high-precision industrial flowmeter (MF/FS4000, USA) (Figure 1-A). Six distinct frequencies (10, 14, 18, 22, 26, 30 min⁻¹) were examined under six different DPs (0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2, 1.4, 1.6 bar). Each parameter setting was replicated five times per group.

SPSS version 26.0 and GraphPad Prism 9 software were employed for statistical analyses and to facilitate the comparison of findings. Upon maintaining a consistent DP, a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) was observed in both the MV and V_T across three needles at six frequencies (with the exception of DP=1.6 bar, N_2 vs. N_3 , $P > 0.05$) (Figure 1-B, F). When operating at the same frequency, both the MV and V_T exhibited

significant differences across three needles at six DPs ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 1-D, H). Multiple linear regression analysis revealed significant effects of DP, needle diameter, and frequency on both MV and V_T ($P < 0.0001$) (Figure 1-B, F).

Literature on jet ventilation commonly reported the use of experimental jet needles with varying inner diameters, ranging from 0.7 to 3 mm, exhibiting considerable variability.⁷⁻⁹ Regardless of whether an adaptor for the jet ventilator or a laryngoscope equipped with jet ventilation capabilities is employed, the IDs of the integrated jet needles are not uniform.¹⁰⁻¹² Whether utilizing an adaptor for the jet ventilator or a laryngoscope equipped with jet ventilation is a consistent challenge. Drawing from the simplified Bernoulli equation for an ideal gas ($P + \frac{1}{2}\rho V^2 = \text{normal}$, where P represents pressure, ρ denotes density, and V signifies velocity), we observed that a reduction in the ID of the injection needle correlates with an increase in flow velocity, assuming a normal DP—consequently, a smaller ID results in higher flow velocities.¹³ Applying Poiseuille's law ($Q = \pi \times r^4 \times \Delta p (8\eta L)^{-1}$, where Q is the volume flowrate, r is the radius of the pipe, Δp is the pressure difference, η the fluid viscosity, and L the length of the pipe), gas flow is primarily dependent on the ID of the injection needle when the DP and suction time are normal.¹⁴ A larger ID corresponds to an increased gas flow. Therefore, variations in the ID of the injection needle, while holding other parameters normal, result in different velocities and flow rates of ejected air, subsequently impacting ventilation effectiveness in a simulated lung model. Previous studies have suggested that a reduction in the ID of the jet needle correlates with a decrease in the V_T under identical setting parameters.¹⁵ The findings of the current study are consistent with those reported in the literature.

For a given jet needle, we found that V_T exhibited a positive correlation with DP and an inverse correlation with frequency. Conversely, MV demonstrated a positive correlation with both DP and frequency. During the breathing cycle, a shorter inspiratory phase results in both a reduced jet-driven volume and a decreased entrainment volume, leading to a lower V_T . As the frequency escalates, the inspiratory time correspondingly contracts. According to the law of conservation of energy, the potential energy of the airflow in each respiratory cycle decreases, evident in a decline in V_T . This phenomenon is attributed to the relationship between frequency and the volume of gas delivered. While the volume of gas administered per minute remains normal, increased frequency results in a reduced volume of gas per breath. Consequently, the entrained volume of gas decreases in tandem with the volume per respiratory cycle.

Upon reaching a driving pressure of 1.6 bar, the V_T s generated by injection needles N₂ and N₃ both exhibited a ceiling effect, with no statistically significant difference observed in MV (Table 1, Figure 1-B). This outcome suggests that in target lungs jet ventilation, needles with larger diameters more readily approach the physiological ventilation limit. Consequently, any further increase in driving pressure may result in volumetric lung injury. When employing a jet needle with a larger ID and high DP to a normal adult, accurately evaluating the MV and V_T excursion visually can become challenging for an anesthesiologist. Prolonged ventilation with high V_T has the potential to lead to ventilator-associated acute lung injury (VILI) and hyperventilation.⁹ Consequently, vigilance is imperative in this regard. While obesity is often considered a relative contraindication for jet ventilation, certain studies have

reported successful use of high DP (4 bar) in obese patients (BMI \geq 30).¹⁶ This success may be attributed to the increased DP and resultant higher V_T associated with jet ventilation.

The primary limitation of this study lies in the inability of the chosen simulated lung to accurately replicate the airway resistance of a real airway. Furthermore, the model lung volume and compliance were fixed, and the needle was positioned vertically towards the simulated airway opening. Actual jet ventilation is influenced by a multitude of variables, including the angle and entry depth of jet needle, airway obstruction, airway resistance, lung compliance, and other physical factors. Future research needs to explore the impact of these variables, such as jet needle angle, depth, and airway obstruction, on ventilation efficiency. Further validation through animal or clinical trials is necessary.

Conclusion: In the process of jet ventilation, driving pressure, the jet needle's inner diameter, and frequency are critical determinants of minute ventilation and tidal volume. Notably, the jet needle's inner diameter, a significant variable, has frequently been underestimated in its contribution to ventilation efficacy. This element necessitates additional research to confirm its importance.

Funding

This study was supported by the Xiamen Medical and Health Guidance Project (Project Number: 3502Z20214ZD1199).

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Jian-Liang Peng reports financial support, article publishing charges, and equipment, drugs, or supplies were provided by Xiamen Medical and Health Guidance Project. If there are other authors, they declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.


Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Chongqing Jiedingli Automation Technology Co., Ltd. for supplying equipment and offering technical support.

Table 1 Comparison of minute volume (MV) and tidal volume (V_T) among three types of jet needles in jet ventilation. The applied driving pressure (DP) ranged from 0.6 to 1.6 bar, while the frequency (F) varied from 10 to 30 cycles per minute. Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (n=6).

	MV (L)			V_T (mL)		
	$N_1^{a,b}$	$N_2^{a,c}$	$N_3^{b,c}$	$N_1^{a,b}$	$N_2^{a,c}$	$N_3^{b,c}$
DP (bar)						
0.6	3.321 \pm (1.116) ^{a,b}	4.467 \pm (1.475) ^{a,c}	5.417 \pm (1.847) ^{b,c}	168.8 \pm (10.0) ^{a,b}	227.0 \pm (12.1) ^{a,c}	274.3 \pm (11.5) ^{b,c}
0.8	4.179 \pm (1.402) ^{a,b}	5.593 \pm (1.876) ^{a,c}	7.364 \pm (2.445) ^{b,c}	212.2 \pm (11.5) ^{a,b}	284.2 \pm (17.7) ^{a,c}	373.8 \pm (18.3) ^{b,c}
1.0	5.048 \pm (1.687) ^{a,b}	7.451 \pm (2.213) ^{a,c}	11.076 \pm (3.170) ^{b,c}	256.2 \pm (12.8) ^{a,b}	382.7 \pm (33.1) ^{a,c}	571.5 \pm (57.4) ^{b,c}
1.2	6.129 \pm (2.051) ^{a,b}	9.112 \pm (2.988) ^{a,c}	13.490 \pm (3.549) ^{b,c}	310.9 \pm (14.6) ^{a,b}	463.1 \pm (24.3) ^{a,c}	700.5 \pm (89.3) ^{b,c}
1.4	7.646 \pm (2.450) ^{a,b}	12.321 \pm (3.430) ^{a,c}	17.476 \pm (5.002) ^{b,c}	389.7 \pm (23.9) ^{a,b}	637.2 \pm (68.6) ^{a,c}	900.1 \pm (89.1) ^{b,c}
1.6	10.107 \pm (2.850) ^{a,b}	15.723 \pm (3.713) ^{a,d}	18.461 \pm (5.622) ^{b,d}	521.7 \pm (53.6) ^{a,b}	825.4 \pm (130.0) ^{a,c}	944.8 \pm (72.0) ^{b,c}
F (min⁻¹)						
10	3.396 \pm (1.452) ^{a,b}	5.293 \pm (2.678) ^{a,c}	6.844 \pm (2.966) ^{b,c}	339.6 \pm (145.2) ^{a,b}	529.3 \pm (267.8) ^{a,c}	684.4 \pm (296.6) ^{b,c}
14	4.535 \pm (1.973) ^{a,b}	7.040 \pm (3.687) ^{a,c}	9.512 \pm (4.216) ^{b,c}	323.9 \pm (140.9) ^{a,b}	502.8 \pm (263.3) ^{a,c}	679.4 \pm (301.2) ^{b,c}
18	5.658 \pm (2.502) ^{a,b}	8.855 \pm (4.670) ^{a,c}	11.703 \pm (5.296) ^{b,c}	314.3 \pm (139.0) ^{a,b}	491.9 \pm (259.5) ^{a,c}	650.2 \pm (294.1) ^{b,c}
22	6.727 \pm (2.920) ^{a,b}	10.103 \pm (4.734) ^{a,c}	13.669 \pm (6.093) ^{b,c}	305.7 \pm (132.7) ^{a,b}	459.2 \pm (215.2) ^{a,c}	621.3 \pm (276.9) ^{b,c}
26	7.596 \pm (2.963) ^{a,b}	11.181 \pm (4.876) ^{a,c}	15.207 \pm (6.497) ^{b,c}	292.1 \pm (114.0) ^{a,b}	430.0 \pm (187.5) ^{a,c}	584.9 \pm (249.9) ^{b,c}
30	8.520 \pm (3.138) ^{a,b}	12.195 \pm (4.971) ^{a,c}	16.345 \pm (6.673) ^{b,c}	284.0 \pm (104.6) ^{a,b}	406.5 \pm (165.7) ^{a,c}	544.8 \pm (222.4) ^{b,c}

Note: ^a, N_1 vs. N_2 , $P < 0.05$; ^b, N_1 vs. N_3 , $P < 0.05$; ^c, N_2 vs. N_3 , $P < 0.05$; ^d, N_2 vs. N_3 , $P > 0.05$.



References

- 1 Evans, Elen, Peter Biro, and Nigel Bedforth. "Jet ventilation." *Continuing Education in Anaesthesia, Critical Care & Pain* 7.1 (2007): 2-5.
- 2 Wood MJ, Lin ES, Thompson JP. Flow dynamics using high-frequency jet ventilation in a model of bronchopleural fistula. *Br J Anaesth.* 2014 Feb;112(2):355-66. doi: 10.1093/bja/aet343. Epub 2013 Oct 29. PMID: 24172056.
- 3 Musil P, Harsanyi S, Torok P, Paulikova M, Moens D, Kalas L, Kalas P. Application and Technical Principles of Catheter High-Frequency Jet Ventilation. *Adv Respir Med.* 2023 Jun 27;91(4):278-287. doi: 10.3390/arm91040022. PMID: 37489385; PMCID: PMC10366769.
- 4 Galmén K, Harbut P, Freedman J, Jakobsson JG. The use of high-frequency ventilation during general anaesthesia: an update. *F1000Res.* 2017 May 30;6:756. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.10823.1. PMID: 28649372; PMCID: PMC5464224.
- 5 Kollisch-Singule M, Satalin J, Blair SJ, Andrews PL, Gatto LA, Nieman GF, Habashi NM. Mechanical Ventilation Lessons Learned From Alveolar Micromechanics. *Front Physiol.* 2020 Mar 24;11:233. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2020.00233. PMID: 32265735; PMCID: PMC7105828.
- 6 Rezaie-Majd A, Bigenzahn W, Denk DM, Burian M, Kornfehl J, Grasl MCh, Ihra G, Aloy A. Superimposed high-frequency jet ventilation (SHFJV) for endoscopic laryngotracheal surgery in more than 1500 patients. *Br J Anaesth.* 2006 May;96(5):650-9. doi: 10.1093/bja/ael074. Epub 2006 Mar 30. PMID: 16574723.
- 7 Young JD, Sykes MK. A method for measuring tidal volume during high frequency jet ventilation. *Br J Anaesth.* 1988 Nov;61(5):601-5. doi: 10.1093/bja/61.5.601. PMID: 3207532.
- 8 Meulemans J, Jans A, Vermeulen K, Vandommele J, Delaere P, Vander Poorten V. Evone® Flow-Controlled Ventilation During Upper Airway Surgery: A Clinical Feasibility Study and Safety Assessment. *Front Surg.* 2020 Feb 28;7:6. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2020.00006. PMID: 32185179; PMCID: PMC7058692.
- 9 Hamaekers AE, Borg PA, Götz T, Enk D. Ventilation through a small-bore catheter: optimizing expiratory ventilation assistance. *Br J Anaesth.* 2011 Mar;106(3):403-9. doi: 10.1093/bja/aeq364. Epub 2010 Dec 22. PMID: 21177698.
- 10 Buczkowski PW, Fombon FN, Lin ES, Russell WC, Thompson JP. Air entrainment during high-frequency jet ventilation in a model of upper tracheal stenosis. *Br J Anaesth.* 2007 Dec;99(6):891-7. doi: 10.1093/bja/aem312. Epub 2007 Oct 31. PMID: 17977861.
- 11 Biro P, Eyrich G, Rohling RG. The efficiency of CO₂ elimination during high-frequency jet ventilation for laryngeal microsurgery. *Anesth Analg.* 1998 Jul;87(1):180-4. doi: 10.1097/00000539-199807000-00037. PMID: 9661570.
- 12 Bialka S, Copik M, Rybczyk K, Owczarek A, Jedrusik E, Czyzewski D, Filipowski M, Rivas E, Ruetzler K, Szarpak L, Misiolok H. Assessment of changes of regional ventilation distribution in the lung tissue depending on the driving pressure applied during high frequency jet ventilation. *BMC Anesthesiol.* 2018 Jul 31;18(1):101. doi: 10.1186/s12871-018-0552-2. PMID: 30064377; PMCID: PMC6069840.
- 13 Arakeri, J.H. Bernoulli's equation. *Reson* 5, 54–71 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02837937>
- 14 Suter S P, Skalak R. The history of Poiseuille's law[J]. *Annual review of fluid mechanics*, 1993, 25(1): 1-20.
- 15 Sasano N, Tanaka A, Muramatsu A, Fujita Y, Ito S, Sasano H, Sobue K. Tidal volume and airway pressure under percutaneous transtracheal ventilation without a jet ventilator: comparison of high-flow oxygen ventilation and manual ventilation in complete and incomplete upper airway obstruction models. *J Anesth.* 2014 Jun;28(3):341-6. doi: 10.1007/s00540-013-1733-2. Epub 2013 Nov 9. PMID: 24212332.
- 16 Philips R, deSilva B, Matrka L. Jet ventilation in obese patients undergoing airway surgery for subglottic and tracheal stenosis. *Laryngoscope.* 2018 Aug;128(8):1887-1892. doi: 10.1002/lary.27059. Epub 2017 Dec 30. PMID: 29288493.