



Original Article

T2 Biomarkers as Predictors of Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease



Bernardino Alcázar-Navarrete^{a,d,e,*}, Jose Manuel Díaz-Lopez^a, Paula García-Flores^a, Marina Ortega-Antelo^a, Ivan Aguilar-Cruz^f, Oliverio Ruiz-Rodríguez^b, Pablo Santiago-Díaz^c, Pedro José Romero Palacios^d

^a Respiratory Department, HU Virgen de las Nieves, Granada, Spain

^b Respiratory Department, AIG de Medicina, Hospital de Alta Resolución de Loja, Agencia Sanitaria Hospital de Poniente, Loja, Granada, Spain

^c Cardiology Department, AIG de Medicina, Hospital de Alta Resolución de Loja, Agencia Sanitaria Hospital de Poniente, Loja, Granada, Spain

^d Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Granada, Granada, Spain

^e Centro de Investigación Biomédica en red Enfermedades Respiratorias (CIBERES), Spain

^f Emergency Department, Hospital Clínico San Cecilio, Granada, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 14 June 2021

Accepted 9 November 2021

Available online 27 November 2021

Keywords:

COPD

Type 2 inflammation

Biomarkers

FeNO

Eosinophil

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Type 2 (T2) biomarkers such as blood eosinophil count (BEC) and FeNO have been related to a higher risk of exacerbations in COPD. It is unknown whether combining these biomarkers could be useful in forecasting COPD exacerbations.

Methods: COPD patients were enrolled in this prospective, multicenter, observational study and followed up for 1 year, during which BEC were analysed at baseline (V0) while FeNO analyses were performed at baseline (V0), 6 months (V1) and 12 months (V2). The risk of moderate or severe exacerbation during follow up was assessed by Cox regression analysis, and the predictive capacity of both measurements was assessed by ROC curves and the DeLong test. Statistical significance was assumed at $P < .05$.

Results: Of the 322 COPD patients initially recruited, 287 were followed up. At baseline, 28.0% were active smokers, and experienced moderate airflow limitation (mean FEV₁ 56.4% ± 17.0% predicted). Patients with at least one elevated T2 biomarker ($n = 125$, 42.5%) were at increased risk of COPD exacerbation (HR 1.75, 95% CI 1.25–2.45, $P = .001$) and of shorter time to first COPD exacerbation. There was no difference between BEC and FeNO regarding the predictive capacity for moderate to severe exacerbation (AUC 0.584 vs 0.576, $P = .183$) but FeNO predicted severe episodes more accurately than BEC (AUC 0.607 vs 0.539, $P < .05$). Combining the two biomarkers enhanced the detection of moderate and severe COPD exacerbations.

Conclusions: Both eosinophil count and FeNO have limited utility for predicting COPD exacerbations. Combining these T2 biomarkers could enhance the detection of future COPD exacerbations.

© 2021 SEPAR. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: balcazar@telefonica.net (B. Alcázar-Navarrete).

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is the most prevalent and disabling chronic respiratory disease, accounting for large numbers of premature deaths in high, middle and low-income countries.¹ The clinical course of COPD is often altered by episodes of symptomatic deterioration known as COPD exacerbations, which frequently require hospital admission and in many cases result in death.² COPD exacerbations not only increase the risk of mortality, but they also impose a significant burden on patients in terms of the loss of health-related quality of life (HRQoL), reduced physical activity and accelerated lung function decline.³ Due to the gravity of these effects, preventing and reducing COPD exacerbations is a primary goal in clinical guidelines and international recommendations.^{4,5}

COPD is now considered a heterogeneous disease, presenting a wide range of characteristics and pathophysiological mechanisms.⁶ Although the majority of COPD patients experience a neutrophilic, type 1 (T1) inflammation, among a subgroup of patients type 2 (T2) inflammation is predominant.⁷ COPD T2 patients usually have an increased blood eosinophil count (BEC),⁸ a higher level of exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO),⁹ higher exacerbation rates,¹⁰ greater bronchodilator reversibility and an increased prevalence of asthma-like symptoms.¹¹

BEC has gained increased interest as a T2 biomarker in patients with COPD, due to its long-term stability¹² and relationship with inhaled corticosteroids (ICs) response in terms of exacerbation reduction.¹³ Both national and international guidelines recommend including BEC evaluation in patients with COPD.^{4,5}

However, it is not clear if patients with T2 inflammation are at increased risk of exacerbations or whether FeNO adds important information in predicting COPD exacerbations.

In view of these considerations, our study aim is to examine the relationship between T2 biomarkers and COPD exacerbations and to determine the added value in this respect of FeNO in a COPD population.

Methods

Study Design

In this prospective, one-year follow-up, observational study, the participants were recruited from the patients attended consecutively at three outpatient tertiary clinics. The precise method employed for this recruitment has been described previously.⁹ The patients were visited at recruitment (V0) and followed up after six (V1) and twelve months (V2), to determine the incidence of COPD exacerbations.

The main study aims were: (1) to evaluate the risk of moderate to severe COPD exacerbations associated with at least one significant T2 biomarker, either high BEC (defined as ≥ 300 cells/mm³), high FeNO (defined as ≥ 2 visits with FeNO ≥ 20 ppb) or both; and (2) to determine the value of these T2 biomarkers (FeNO, BEC or both) as predictors of moderate to severe COPD exacerbation during the one-year follow up considered.

Ethical Considerations

The study was conducted in accordance with the provisions of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki, and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Clinical Research at our Institution (1987-N-16). All participants gave signed informed consent.

Study Population

The study participants were all adult (≥ 40 years of age), current or former smokers with a cumulative smoking exposure ≥ 10 pack-years, presented COPD according to international diagnostic criteria (5) and were capable of performing lung function tests serially. The exclusion criteria applied were the occurrence of COPD exacerbations during the previous four weeks, previous systemic corticosteroid use during the previous 4 weeks, the presence of chronic respiratory diseases other than COPD, such as current asthma diagnosis, tuberculosis or alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency, or current participation in any other research study or pulmonary rehabilitation programme.

Measurements

At recruitment, data on cumulative smoking exposure, previous medical history, concomitant diseases,¹⁴ usual treatment and number and severity of COPD exacerbations in the previous year were collected from the participants' medical records. BEC values were obtained at baseline (V0). The Spanish version of the COPD Assessment Test (CAT) questionnaire was self-administered.¹⁵ A spirometry test was performed, before and after the administration of 400 μ g of salbutamol, following international recommendations.¹⁶ FeNO was measured before spirometry on V0 (baseline), V1 and V2 at a constant flow (50 mL/s) with a NO analyser (HypAir FeNO[®], Medisoft, Belgium), also following international recommendations.^{17,18}

At each follow-up visit (at six and twelve months after baseline) the participants completed the CAT questionnaire, any moderate or severe COPD exacerbations were recorded and spirometric and FeNO measurements were taken. Moderate exacerbations were defined as those needing oral/systemic corticosteroids or antibiotics and severe exacerbations were defined as those requiring hospital admission or emergency department care for more than 24 hours.

Statistical Analysis

The study results are presented as sample size (n), range, median [interquartile range] or mean \pm standard deviation, as appropriate. Categorical variables were compared using the χ^2 test and continuous variables were compared using ANOVA, Student's *t*-test or the Mann-Whitney *U* test, as required. Statistical significance was defined as $P < .05$. Cox regression analysis was used to compare the time to first moderate or severe COPD exacerbation, after adjusting for age, gender, smoking status, GOLD 2017 grade and ICS use. The sample size was calculated expecting a 80% power to detect a 15% increase in the rate of exacerbations among those with high T2 biomarker with an alpha error of 0.05, assuming 10% drop-out rate during follow up, giving a sample size estimation of 314 patients. The accuracy of BEC and/or FeNO as a predictor of the presence of at least one moderate or severe COPD exacerbation was determined. ROC curves (receiving operator characteristics) were obtained and AUC (area under curve) and Youden's index were calculated for each biomarker. BEC, FeNO and joint BEC/FeNo were compared as predictors of future COPD exacerbations using the DeLong test. All statistical analyses were performed using Jamovi 1.6 software (The Jamovi project, Sydney, Australia).

Results

From March 2016 to January 2018, 412 COPD patients from 3 tertiary hospitals in Spain were assessed consecutively for eligibility, and 322 were finally recruited, 298 being recruited at Hospital




Fig. 1. STROBE diagram of the study. See text for further explanations.




Fig. 2. Kaplan–Meier analysis of time (days) to moderate or severe COPD exacerbations, adjusted for age, gender, smoking, ICs and previous exacerbation history, and stratified by high T2 biomarkers. See text for further explanation.

de Alta Resolución de Loja. Fig. 1 presents the STROBE diagram of the study.

The participants' baseline characteristics are detailed in Table 1. In summary, 89% were male and aged in their seventies, and around 25% were current smokers and had a relatively high level of tobacco consumption (46 pack-years). Most presented moderate airflow limitation (FEV₁ 56%) and were classed as GOLD grade B or D.

Regarding the T2 biomarkers 129 (42.5%) of the participants presented at least one elevated T2 biomarker; 28% had elevated FeNO, 22.4% had elevated BEC and 5.3% had raised both T2 biomarkers. Table 2 shows the participants' characteristics according to the presence or absence of T2 biomarkers. The T2 biomarker group had similar characteristics to T2 absent group, with a less severe form of the disease in terms of airflow limitation but presented a higher symptom burden in terms of the CAT scores recorded. There were no differences between the groups in terms of FEV₁, ICS use or current smoker status.

The participants with a T2 biomarker present were at an increased risk of moderate or severe exacerbation during the one year follow up period (HR 1.73, 95% confidence interval 1.26–2.38; Log-rank test P=.001), as shown in Fig. 2, and also presented a shorter time to first moderate or severe COPD exacerbation (240 vs. 317 days, P<.05). This was applicable to both ICS-treated and ICS-naïve populations (supplementary Fig. 1). Multivariate analysis indicated that the presence of a T2 biomarker was independently associated with an increased risk of exacerbation, after adjusting

Table 1

Baseline Characteristics of Participants (n=322). Continuous Data Are Shown as Mean ± SD or Median [IQR], and Categorical Variables as n (%).

Age, yrs	70.7 ± 10.2
Sex, M/F	287/35 (89.1%/10.1%)
Smoking history	
Current smoker, n (%)	90 (28.0%)
Pack-yrs	46.0 ± 17.1
Pulmonary function	
FEV1 postBD, % pred	56.4 ± 17.0
FVC postBD, %pred	80.2 ± 17.8
Reversibility, n (%) ^a	81 (25.2%)
Severity of airflow obstruction	
Mild, n (%)	14 (7.5%)
Moderate, n (%)	182 (56.5%)
Severe, n (%)	93 (28.9%)
Very severe, n (%)	23 (7.1%)
GOLD groups	
GOLD A	29 (9.0%)
GOLD B	133 (41.3%)
GOLD C	19 (5.9%)
GOLD D	141 (43.8%)
Pharmacological treatment	
LAMA/LABA, n (%)	93 (28.9%)
Triple Therapy, n (%)	130 (40.4%)
ICS-containing regimen, n (%)	190 (59.0%)
Comorbidities	
COTE index, median (IQR)	1 (0–3)
COTE index ≥4 points, n (%)	62 (13.2%)
Exacerbations, previous yr	
Moderate exacerbations	1.71 ± 1.38
Severe exacerbations	0.15 ± 0.45
Type 2 biomarkers	
High T2 biomarker, at least one n	129 (42.5%)
High T2 biomarker, both n	16 (5.3%)
FeNO	
FeNO at V0, ppb	18.4 ± 13.2
Patients with ≥2 visits with FeNO > 20 ppb	90 (28.0%)
BEC	
Eosinophil count, cells × 10 ³ /μL	0.24 ± 0.16
Patients with ≥150 eosinophils, n (%)	207 (64.3%)
Patients with ≥300 eosinophils, n (%)	68 (22.4%)

^a Reversibility is defined by ΔFEV₁ ≥12% after inhalation of 400 mcgr of salbutamol and ≥200 mL FEV1 postBD: FEV1 postbronchodilator; FVC postBD: FVC post bronchodilator; GOLD: Global Initiative for Obstructive Lung Disease; ICS: inhaled corticosteroids; LAMA: long-acting muscarinic antagonists; LABA: long-acting beta-agonists; COTE: COPD comorbidity index [14]; FeNO: exhaled fraction of nitric oxide; BEC: blood eosinophil count.

for covariates. Fig. 3 shows the hazard regression plot for the participants, according to multivariate analysis. ICs use at baseline and the presence of T2 biomarkers both increased the risk of exacerbation, whereas GOLD B and current smoker status during follow up reduced it.

Regarding the diagnostic accuracy of the two T2 biomarkers, Fig. 4 shows the AUC for high levels of BEC, FeNO or T2 (any), for the prediction of at least one moderate or severe COPD exacerbation (left) or at least one severe COPD exacerbation (right). These biomarkers are compared in Table 3. Overall, the diagnostic accuracy of high BEC, high FeNO or high T2 (any) for predicting moderate and severe COPD exacerbations was similar in every case. However, FeNO increased the diagnostic accuracy for severe COPD exacerbations compared to high BEC, providing a better profile for the combination of both T2 biomarkers (AUC 0.607 vs 0.539 vs 0.608 respectively; De Long test P=.031 for the comparison). This predictive accuracy was increased when patients were divided according to ICS use and smoking history (supplementary Fig. 2), where predictive value in ICS-naïve patients was higher than in ICS-treated patients as well as in former smokers compared to current smokers.

Table 2
Characteristics of Patients According to the presence of at Least One Elevated T2 Biomarker. Continuous Data Are Shown as Mean ± SD or Median [IQR], and Categorical Variables as n (%).

	High T2 Biomarker		P-Value
	Absent (n = 174)	Present (n = 129)	
Age, yrs	70.2 ± 10.7	71.2 ± 9.6	.422
Sex, male	151 (86.8%)	119 (92.2%)	.131
Current smoker, n (%)	47 (27.0%)	34 (26.4%)	.899
FEV ₁ postBD, % pred	55.4 ± 18.5	57.2 ± 14.8	.365
CAT score	18.0 ± 7.9	20.3 ± 7.4	.011
Severity of airflow limitation			.006
Mild, n (%)	16 (9.2%)	8 (6.2%)	
Moderate, n (%)	85 (48.9%)	83 (64.3%)	
Severe, n (%)	53 (30.5%)	35 (27.1%)	
Very severe, n (%)	20 (11.5%)	3 (2.3%)	
GOLD 2017 groups			.692
GOLD A	13 (7.5%)	14 (10.9%)	
GOLD B	72 (41.4%)	49 (38.0%)	
GOLD C	12 (6.9%)	7 (5.4%)	
GOLD D	77 (44.3%)	59 (45.7%)	
ICS at baseline, n (%)	99 (56.9%)	80 (62.0%)	.370
Exacerbations, prev yr	1.8 ± 1.4	2.0 ± 1.6	.468




Fig. 4. Receiver operator curves (ROC) analysis for different T2 biomarkers detecting at least one moderate or severe COPD exacerbation (left), or at least one severe exacerbation (right).




Fig. 3. Hazard regression plot showing hazard risk for moderate & severe exacerbations among participants according to multivariate analysis.

Table 3
Receiver Operator Curves (ROC) Tests and Diagnostic Ability Between Elevated T2 Biomarker, High BEC, High FeNO or BEC for the Prediction of at Least One Moderate or Severe Exacerbation.

	High T2 Biomarker	High BEC	High FeNO	BEC ^a	P-Value ^b
<i>Moderate or severe COPD exacerbations</i>					
Sensitivity	50.71%	31.43%	50.71%	29.29%	.183
Specificity	64.42%	85.28%	64.42%	93.87%	
NPV	60.34%	59.15%	60.34%	60.71%	
PPV	55.04%	64.71%	55.04%	77.78%	
AUC	0.576	0.584	0.576	0.623	
Youden index	0.151	0.167	0.151	0.232	
<i>Severe COPD exacerbations</i>					
Sensitivity	32.65%	37.28%	32.54%	20.59%	.031
Specificity	94.16%	85.13%	93.76%	92.19%	
NPV	88.78%	88.78%	89.44%	90.18%	
PPV	31.21%	23.16%	33.33%	29.17%	
AUC	0.608	0.539	0.607	0.511	
Youden index	0.174	0.132	0.173	0.127	

NPV: negative predictive value; PPV: positive predictive value; AUC: area under the curve.

^a Optimal cut-off point 330 eosinophils/mm³.

^b De Long test.

Discussion

The main result derived from this study is that the presence of the T2 biomarkers considered (*FeNO* and/or *BEC*) is associated with an increased risk of moderate or severe exacerbation, and that adding *FeNO* to *BEC* in the items included in clinical analysis could increase the predictive ability for COPD exacerbations, especially the severe ones. The AUC for the prediction of at least one severe exacerbation increased from 0.539 to 0.608 when *BEC* and *FeNO* were combined.

COPD exacerbation is a severe outcome in the natural history of the disease and therefore predicting the risk of a future moderate or severe exacerbation is crucial to the management of COPD. However, as COPD exacerbations are heterogeneous events, searches for biomarkers have obtained mixed results.¹⁹ In the present study, the T2 biomarkers considered were associated with an increased risk of exacerbation, but predicted only a small proportion of events, according to the AUC results obtained. This is not surprising, as the leading cause of COPD exacerbation is thought to be infectious virus-driven episodes.²⁰ However, our results suggest that at least

some of these episodes could be linked to elevated T2 responses in the airway, which can be predicted using T2 biomarkers, especially when FeNO and BEC are combined.

Our results are in line with those from previous population-based studies of patients with COPD, according to which BEC is associated with a higher rate of moderate to severe exacerbation^{21,22} although there are other studies (from randomized controlled trials) haven't found such effect.²³ We concur with research findings by other groups suggesting that FeNO could also be related to an increased risk of exacerbations. There is robust evidence suggesting that BEC is a good biomarker for identifying patients responsive to ICs treatment.²⁴ Indeed, BEC is included in the current guidelines and international recommendations as a biomarker for tailoring ICs in patients with COPD.

In our study, FeNO improved the predictive accuracy for severe COPD exacerbation compared to BEC but had no impact on the predictive accuracy for moderate exacerbation. This suggests that the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying moderate and severe COPD exacerbations could be different, as BEC is an IL-5 dependent biomarker and FeNO is an IL-13 dependent biomarker, which could be in line with recently published studies.²⁵

Our study has certain strengths, namely the sample size, the clinical characterisation obtained of the COPD patients and the multicentre study design. Among its limitations is the inclusion of patients attended at tertiary clinics, which means that our results cannot readily be extrapolated to the whole COPD population, and that most of the patients were recruited at a single center. Another limitation is that although the patients included were receiving their usual inhaled treatment, we could not registered changes in inhaled therapies or ICS dose, while about a quarter were current smokers. Either or both of these circumstances could have decreased FeNO levels. Another limitation of the study is that due to study design we could not exclude some bias that could have altered some results as Fig. 3 shows (i.e. patients more prone to exacerbations are recommended to receive ICS and current smokers feeling better could have been more prone to accept their participation in the study). Finally, only one measurement of BEC was obtained, which might have introduced bias into the results if this value changed during follow up.

In summary, our results suggest that consideration of the T2 biomarkers BEC and FeNO could be useful for forecasting COPD exacerbations, and that their combined use provides better predictive accuracy than either one alone.

Take-Home Messages

Type 2 (T2) biomarkers such as blood eosinophil count and FeNO are associated with a higher risk of COPD exacerbation and could be useful in predicting this outcome, especially in combination.

Funding

Supported by Neumosur Foundation (1/2012).

Conflicts of Interest

Dr. Alcázar-Navarrete reports grants and personal fees from GSK, grants, personal fees and non-financial support from Novartis AG, personal fees and non-financial support from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees and non-financial support from Chiesi, grants, personal fees and non-financial support from Laboratorios Menarini, personal fees from Astra-Zeneca, personal fees from Gilead.

Dr. Diaz Lopez has nothing to disclose.

Dr. Garcia-Flores has nothing to disclose.

Dr. Ortega-Antelo has nothing to disclose.

Dr. Aguilar Cruz has nothing to disclose.

Dr. Ruiz-Rodríguez has nothing to disclose.

Dr. Santiago Diaz has nothing to disclose.

Dr. Garcia-Flores has nothing to disclose.

Dr. Romero-Palacios reports personal fees and non-financial support from GSK, grants, non-financial support from Novartis AG, non-financial support from Boehringer Ingelheim, non-financial support from Chiesi, grants, personal fees and non-financial support from Laboratorios Menarini, personal fees from Esteve, outside the submitted work.

Acknowledgement

BAN is responsible for concept & design, Analysis & interpretation of the results, writing the article, data collection and final approval of the article.

JMDL, PGF and MOA are responsible for critical revision of the article and final approval of the article.

ORR is responsible for data collection and final approval of the article.

PSD is responsible for analysis & interpretation of the results and final approval of the article.

PJRP is responsible for concept & design, Analysis & interpretation of the results, writing the article, and final approval of the article.

Appendix A. Supplementary Data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.arbres.2021.11.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2021.11.006).

References

- Soriano JB, Kendrick PJ, Paulson KR, Gupta V, Abrams EM, Adedoyin RA, et al. Prevalence and attributable health burden of chronic respiratory diseases, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2020;8:585–96. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600\(20\)30105-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30105-3).
- Soler-Cataluña J, Martínez García M, Roman Sanchez P, Salcedo E, Navarro M, Ochando R. Severe acute exacerbations and mortality in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax.* 2005;60:925–31. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/thx.2005.040527](https://doi.org/10.1136/thx.2005.040527).
- Alcázar Navarrete B, Ancochea Bermúdez J, García-Río F, Izquierdo Alonso JL, Miravittles M, Rodríguez González-Moro JM, et al. Patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations: recommendations for diagnosis, treatment and care. *Arch Bronconeumol.* 2019;55:478–87. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2019.02.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2019.02.020).
- Miravittles M, Calle M, Molina J, Almagro P, Gómez J-T, Trigueros JA, et al. Actualización 2021 de la Guía Española de la EPOC (GesEPOC). Tratamiento farmacológico de la EPOC estable. *Arch Bronconeumol.* 2021. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2021.03.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2021.03.005).
- Vogelmeier CF, Criner GJ, Martínez FJ, Anzueto A, Barnes PJ, Bourbeau J, et al. Informe 2017 de la iniciativa global para el diagnóstico tratamiento y prevención de la enfermedad pulmonar obstructiva crónica: resumen ejecutivo de GOLD. *Arch Bronconeumol.* 2017;53:128–49. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2017.02.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2017.02.001).
- Agusti A, Calverley PMA, Celli B, Coxson HO, Edwards LD, Lomas DA, et al. Characterisation of COPD heterogeneity in the ECLIPSE cohort. *Respir Res.* 2010;11:122. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1465-9921-11-122](https://doi.org/10.1186/1465-9921-11-122).
- Brightling C, Greening N. Airway inflammation in COPD: progress to precision medicine. *Eur Respir J.* 2019;54:1900651. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00651-2019](https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00651-2019).
- Greulich T, Vogelmeier CF. Blood eosinophils as a marker of eosinophilic exacerbations in COPD. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2018;6:e17. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600\(18\)30095-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(18)30095-X).
- Alcázar-Navarrete B, Ruiz Rodríguez O, Conde Baena P, Romero Palacios PJ, Agusti A. Persistently elevated exhaled nitric oxide fraction is associated with increased risk of exacerbation in COPD. *Eur Respir J.* 2018;51. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01457-2017](https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01457-2017).
- Zeiger RS, Tran TN, Butler RK, Schatz M, Li Q, Khattry DB, et al. Relationship of blood eosinophil count to exacerbations in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.* 2018;6:944–54. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2017.10.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2017.10.004), e5.
- Zysman M, Deslee G, Caillaud D, Chanez P, Escamilla R, Court-Fortune I, et al. Relationship between blood eosinophils, clinical characteristics, and mortal-

- ity in patients with COPD. *Int J Chronic Obstr Pulmon Dis.* 2017;12:1819–24, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/COPD.S129787>.
12. Oshagbemi OA, Burden AM, Braeken DCW, Henskens Y, Wouters EFM, Driessen JHM, et al. Stability of blood eosinophils in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and in control subjects, and the impact of sex, age, smoking, and baseline counts. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2017;195:1402–4, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201701-0009LE>.
 13. Harries TH, Rowland V, Corrigan CJ, Marshall IJ, McDonnell L, Prasad V, et al. Blood eosinophil count, a marker of inhaled corticosteroid effectiveness in preventing COPD exacerbations in post-hoc RCT and observational studies: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Respir Res.* 2020;21:3, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12931-019-1268-7>.
 14. Divo M, Cote C, de Torres JP, Casanova C, Marin JM, Pinto-Plata V, et al. Comorbidities and risk of mortality in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2012;186:155–61, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201201-0034OC>.
 15. Agustí A, Soler JJ, Molina J, Muñoz MJ, García-Losa M, Roset M, et al. Is the CAT questionnaire sensitive to changes in health status in patients with severe COPD exacerbations? *COPD.* 2012;9:492–8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/15412555.2012.692409>.
 16. García-Río F, Calle M, Burgos F, Casan P, del Campo F, Galdiz JB, et al. Espirometría. *Arch Bronconeumol.* 2013;49:388–401, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2013.04.001>.
 17. ATS/ERS recommendations for standardized procedures for the online and offline measurement of exhaled lower respiratory nitric oxide and nasal nitric oxide, 2005. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2005;171:912–30. doi:10.1164/rccm.200406-710ST.
 18. Horváth I, Barnes PJ, Loukides S, Sterk PJ, Högman M, Olin AC, et al. A European Respiratory Society technical standard: exhaled biomarkers in lung disease. *Eur Respir J.* 2017;49:1600965, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00965-2016>.
 19. Leung JM, Obeidat M, Sadatsafavi M, Sin DD. Introduction to precision medicine in COPD. *Eur Respir J.* 2019;53:1802460, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/13993003.02460-2018>.
 20. Wedzicha JA, Singh R, Mackay AJ. Acute COPD exacerbations. *Clin Chest Med.* 2014;35:157–63, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccm.2013.11.001>.
 21. Vedel-Krogh S, Nielsen SF, Lange P, Vestbo J, Nordestgaard BG. Blood eosinophils and exacerbations in chronic obstructive. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2016;193:965–74.
 22. Miravittles M, Monteagudo M, Solntseva I, Alcázar B. Blood eosinophil counts and their variability and risk of exacerbations in COPD: a population-based study. *Arch Bronconeumol.* 2021;57:13–20, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2019.12.015>.
 23. Singh D, Wedzicha JA, Siddiqui S, de la Hoz A, Xue W, Magnussen H, et al. Blood eosinophils as a biomarker of future COPD exacerbation risk: pooled data from 11 clinical trials. *Respir Res.* 2020;21:1–10, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/S12931-020-01482-1>.
 24. Bafadhel M, Peterson S, de Blas MA, Calverley PM, Rennard SI, Richter K, et al. Predictors of exacerbation risk and response to budesonide in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a post-hoc analysis of three randomised trials. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2018;6:117–26, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600\(18\)30006-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(18)30006-7).
 25. McDowell PJ, Diver S, Yang F, Borg C, Busby J, Brown V, et al. The inflammatory profile of exacerbations in patients with severe refractory eosinophilic asthma receiving mepolizumab (the MEX study): a prospective observational study. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2021, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s2213-2600\(21\)00004-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s2213-2600(21)00004-7).