



## Scientific Letter

### Three to Six Months Evolution of Pulmonary Function and Radiological Features in Critical COVID-19 Patients: A Prospective Cohort



#### *Evolución de la función pulmonar y las características radiológicas de tres a seis meses en pacientes críticos por COVID-19: una cohorte prospectiva*

To the Director,

Respiratory function impairment and radiological sequelae in surviving intensive care unit (ICU) patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) secondary to COVID-19 are well described in short-term follow-up.<sup>1</sup> Typical respiratory function abnormalities are impairment of the total lung capacity (TLC) and carbon monoxide diffusing capacity (DLCO).<sup>2</sup> The most frequent radiological findings are multiple ground glass opacities (GGOs) in early stages, progressing to fibrotic changes such as interlobular septal thickening and traction bronchiectasis.<sup>3</sup> However, the literature on the evolution of pulmonary sequelae in these patients is scarce. Therefore, our objective was to describe and analyze the evolution of functional and radiological sequelae between the 3- and 6-month follow-ups after hospital discharge in critical COVID-19 survivors.

A prospective observational study was performed in all patients over 18 years of age who were admitted to the ICU of the Hospital Universitari Arnau de Vilanova and Hospital Universitari Santa Maria in Lleida (Spain) due to COVID-19 between March and June 2020. Clinical and laboratory characteristics were recorded at ICU admission, as was the length of stay and respiratory support. From 209 initial patients, 39 died and 32 were unreachable or denied to follow-up. Finally, follow-up at 3 and 6 months after hospital discharge was performed in 78 survivors, comprising clinical evaluation, chest computed tomography (CT), pulmonary function test and the 6-minute walking test (6MWT). All CT images were reviewed by a pulmonologist blinded to the clinical data and total severity score (TSS)<sup>4</sup> was assessed to quantify the severity and extent of lung damage.

Descriptive statistics – median (interquartile range) for quantitative variables and absolute and relative frequencies for qualitative variables – were calculated. Changes between visits in lung function, 6MWT and CT scan parameters were evaluated

using the paired *t*-test, McNemar's test or McNemar–Bowker test, as appropriate. Furthermore, we evaluated the effect of comorbidities and ICU procedures on DLCO, 6MWT distance and TSS at both follow-up. The change between visits was assessed by using linear models and was adjusted by baseline values. R statistical software version 4.0.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing) was used for all the analyses.

The general characteristics at ICU admission, in addition to functional and radiological findings during the follow-up, are shown in Table 1. The most frequent functional abnormalities observed were impairments in TLC (41% and 33%) and DLCO (88% and 80%). Significant improvements were seen only in FEV1, FVC and distance covered during the 6MWT. For chest CT, the most frequent findings were septal interlobular thickening, bronchiectasis and peripheral GGO. These chest CT lesions showed a significant decrease from the first to the second follow-up, as did the presence of ground-glass and interlobular septal thickening, the number of affected lobes and the severity of lung damage measured by TSS. However, 43.1% and 31.9% of patients still had reticular and fibrotic lesions, respectively, at the 6-month follow-up.

The baseline characteristics associated with pulmonary and radiological features at 3 months and 6 months and the changes between visits are shown Fig. 1. Patients with a previous history of hypertension or diabetes walked less in the 6MWT at both follow-ups. Patients who underwent invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV) and prone positioning in the ICU presented worse distances in the 6MWT test at 3 months, although they showed better improvement between 3 and 6 months. Furthermore, these patients had worse TSS and DLCO at three months and showed a worse evolution of DLCO between 3 and 6 months. The rest of the comorbidities, ICU procedures and demographic traits (sex, age and BMI) and treatment received (data not shown) did not show significant correlations with DLCO, TSS or distance in the 6MWT test at 3 and 6 months or in the changes between visits. Seven patients received systemic glucocorticoids, 6 inhaled glucocorticoids and 34 a specific pulmonary rehabilitation program between 3 and 6 months. None of these actions showed a different evolution in lung function or CT findings (data not shown).

Our prospective study showed that although there was an improvement in radiological findings, lung diffusing capacity remained impaired at 6 months of follow-up in a high proportion of critical survivors of COVID-19. Moreover, 75% of patients still presented residual lesions on chest CT. Clinical conditions such as hypertension and diabetes were linked to the distance covered in the 6MWT at 6 months' follow-up. Moreover, the factors associated with a worse DLCO and its non-improvement during the 3rd and 6th months of follow-up were IMV and prone positioning during the ICU stay.

To our knowledge, there are only two studies describing long-term pulmonary sequelae in hospitalized COVID-19 patients.<sup>5,6</sup>

**Abbreviations:** ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; CT, computed tomography; DLCO, diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide; FEV1, forced expiratory volume during the first second of the forced breath; FVC, forced vital capacity; GGO, ground-glass opacities; ICU, intensive care unit; IMV, invasive mechanical ventilation; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; TLC, total lung capacity; TSS, total severity score; 6MWT, 6 minutes walking test.


**Table 1**  
Baseline characteristics at ICU admission; functional and radiological findings at 3 and 6 months of follow-up after discharge and evolution from 3rd to 6th month.

	Admission		Follow-up				
	n = 78	Median (P <sub>25</sub> ;P <sub>75</sub> ) or n (%)	3 Months	6 Months	Difference		
			Mean (SD) or n (%)	Mean (SD) or n (%)	Mean Difference (95% CI) or McNemar's Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P Value	Missings
<b>General characteristics</b>				<b>n = 72</b>			
Age (years)	62.0 (56.0;67.0)						
Sex							
Male	54 (69.2%)						
Female	24 (30.8%)						
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	28.4 (26.1;32.8)						
Smoking history							
Current	1 (1.32%)						
Former	45 (59.2%)						
Nonsmoker	30 (39.5%)						
Comorbidities							
Hypertension	39 (50.0%)						
Diabetes mellitus	16 (20.5%)						
Chronic heart disease	6 (7.69%)						
Asthma	7 (8.97%)						
COPD	8 (10.3%)						
ICU stay							
Days	14.0 (6.00;25.8)						
Mechanical ventilation							
Invasive	44 (56.4%)						
Days	18.0 (11.5;25.5)						
Noninvasive	43 (55.8%)						
Days	3.00 (2.00;3.50)						
Prone positioning	43 (55.1%)						
Hours	40.0 (22.5;72.0)						
Farmacological therapy							
Hydroxychloroquine	49 (62.8%)						
Interferon beta	13 (16.7%)						
Tocilizumab N (%)	44 (56.4%)						
Corticosteroids N (%)	65 (83.3%)						
Antibiotics N (%)	76 (97.4%)						
Laboratory data at ICU admission							
CRP mg/dL	161 (85.3;213)						
Hemoglobin g/L mean (SD)	13.2 (12.5;14.4)						
Platelet count × 10 <sup>9</sup> /L	221 (175;299)						
White blood count × 10 <sup>9</sup> /L	8.18 (6.42;10.1)						
Lymphocyte count × 10 <sup>9</sup> /L	0.78 (0.51;1.12)						
Urea nitrogen mmol/L	40.0 (28.0;59.0)						
Creatinine mg/dL	0.79 (0.65;0.97)						
LDH U/L mean (SD)	846 (695;945)						
Ferritin mg/dL	927 (464;2084)						
D-dimer mg/L	452 (284;702)						
		<b>Chest CT</b>					
		Density, n (%)					
		Ground-glass	41 (56.9%)	23 (31.9%)	0.18 (0.05 to 0.53)	<b>&lt;.001</b>	
		Mixed ground-glass	24 (33.3%)	30 (41.7%)	3 (0.75 to 17.22)	.146	
		Consolidation	15 (20.8%)	10 (13.9%)	0.17 (0.003 to 1.37)	.125	
		Internal structures, n (%)					
		Interlobular septal thickening	66 (91.7%)	59 (81.9%)	0 (0.00 to 0.69)	<b>.015</b>	
		Bronchiectasis	58 (80.6%)	59 (81.9%)	1.33 (0.22 to 9.10)	.999	
		Atelectasis	17 (23.6%)	18 (25.0%)	1.14 (0.36 to 3.70)	.999	
		Solid nodule	25 (34.7%)	25 (34.7%)	1.01 (0.299 to 3.34)	.753	
		Nonsolid nodule	1 (1.39%)	6 (8.33%)	6 (0.73 to 275.98)	.125	
		Lesions, n (%)					
		None	13 (18.1%)	18 (25.0%)		NE	
		Fibrotic	27 (37.5%)	23 (31.9%)			
		Reticular	32 (44.4%)	31 (43.1%)			
		TSS score					
		Number of lobes affected by ground-glass or consolidative opacities, mean (SD)	3.50 (1.84)	2.53 (1.97)	-0.97 (-1.28 to -0.67)	<b>&lt;.001</b>	
		Score, mean (SD)	6.65 (4.15)	4.42 (3.55)	-2.24 (-2.70 to -1.78)	<b>&lt;.001</b>	
		<b>6MWT</b>		<b>n = 74</b>			
		Distance-meters, median (P <sub>25</sub> ; P <sub>75</sub> )	396 (85.7)	445 (74.9)	48.5 (35.3 to 61.7)	<b>&lt;.001</b>	1
		Oxygen saturation, median (P <sub>25</sub> ; P <sub>75</sub> )					
		Average	95.2 (1.75)	94.3 (2.98)	0.30 (-0.08 to 0.69)	.123	
		Minimal	94.1 (2.27)	94.3 (2.98)	0.22 (-0.48 to 0.91)	.538	
		<b>Pulmonary function</b>		<b>n = 74</b>			
		FVC-%, mean (SD)	77.6 (14.9)	80.7 (14.9)	3.09 (1.18 to 5.01)	<b>.002</b>	
		FEV <sub>1</sub> -%, mean (SD)	85.9 (17.3)	88.5 (16.9)	2.52 (0.64 to 4.40)	<b>.009</b>	
		FEV <sub>1</sub> /FVC, mean (SD)	0.82 (0.06)	0.82 (0.06)	-0.01 (-0.02 to 0.00)	.264	1
		≥0.7%, n (%)	71 (97.3%)	70 (95.9%)		.999	
		<0.7%, n (%)	2 (2.74%)	3 (4.11%)			
		TLC-%, mean (SD)	82.7 (16.6)	84.8 (17.7)	2.17 (-2.14 to 6.48)	.318	8
		≥80%, n (%)	39 (59.1%)	44 (66.7%)		.527	
		≤50%-80%, n (%)	25 (37.9%)	20 (30.3%)			
		<50%, n (%)	2 (3.03%)	2 (3.03%)			
		RV-%, mean (SD)	86.7 (35.9)	87.2 (32.1)	0.48 (-10.28 to 11.2)	.929	10
		DLCO-mL/min/mmHg, mean (SD)	65.9 (12.4)	67.6 (13.9)	1.75 (-0.79 to 4.30)	.175	1
		≥80%, n (%)	9 (12.3%)	15 (20.5%)		.306	
		≤60%-80%, n (%)	41 (56.2%)	33 (45.2%)			
		<60%, n (%)	23 (31.5%)	25 (34.2%)			

SD, standard deviation; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ICU, intensive care unit; CRP, C-reactive protein; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; CT, computed tomography; TSS, total severity score; 6MWT, 6 minutes walking test; FVC, forced vital capacity; FEV<sub>1</sub>, forced expiratory volume during the first second of the forced breath; TLC, total lung capacity; RV, residual volume. Statistically significant values are highlighted in bold.

They observed a higher proportion of patients with impaired DLCO (56%) at the 6-month follow-up in the group of patients who required high-flow oxygen therapy (HFOT), non-invasive ventilation (NIV) or IMV in the acute phase. Moreover, they found that

disease severity in the acute phase was associated with pulmonary diffusion abnormalities and lung damage on chest CT at the 6-month follow-up. The proportion of lung damage was even higher in our study (79%) due to the severity of the patients enrolled. In fact,



**Fig. 1.** Effect of comorbidities and ICU procedures on DLCO, 6MWT distance and TSS at 3rd, 6th months and change between visits using linear models. Models for change between visits were adjusted by baseline measurement.

acute phase severity markers such as comorbidities (hypertension and diabetes), orotracheal intubation and prone positioning are prognostic factors of poor functionality and lack of improvement between the 3 and 6-month of follow-ups.

Low DLCO could be consequence of interstitial abnormalities or pulmonary vascular abnormalities caused by critical COVID-19. Notably, radiological findings improved while gas-blood exchange abnormalities persisted, suggesting that distinct mechanisms might underlie these alterations. Additionally, there are other pulmonary lesions in our population such as bronchiectasis that could contribute to this fact. Even the prevalence of them in our cohort is very high, other studies reported signs of reticulation, fibrous bands and bronchiectasis in a 67% of critical ill COVID-19 survivors.<sup>7</sup> These facts merit further investigation, especially over long-term follow-up.

The main limitation of our study is that it had a small cohort from a single city. A larger sample from different hospitals would be ideal for this type of study. However, generalization of our results is facilitated by our cohort being well-characterized and prospective. Additionally, it is uncertain whether lung lesions and functional lung impairment were present before the study, nevertheless, it is a relatively young cohort without a significant prevalence of previous respiratory disease diagnosis.

In conclusion, pulmonary sequelae persist at 6 months despite partial radiological resolution in critically ill COVID-19 patients, with no improvement in pulmonary function that could imply the initial establishment of an irreversible, chronic disorder. By identifying characteristics, such as previous comorbidities and the need for IMV or prone positioning, that are associated with a less satisfactory evolution, it would be possible to improve after-discharge management and follow-up of these critically ill patients.

**Funding**

Supported in part by ISCIII (CIBERESUCICOID, COV20/00110), co-funded by ERDF, “Una manera de hacer Europa”.

**Conflict of Interest**

No conflicts exist for any of the authors.

**Acknowledgments**

David de Gonzalo Calvo acknowledges receiving financial support from Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII; MiguelServet2020: CP20/00041), co-funded by the European Social Fund (ESF), “Investing in your future”. Jordi de Batlle acknowledges receiving financial support from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII; Miguel Servet 2019: CP19/00108), co-funded by the European Social Fund (ESF), “Investing in your future”.

**References**

- González J, Benítez ID, Carmona P, Santistevé S, Monge A, Moncusí-Moix A, et al. Pulmonary function and radiologic features in survivors of critical COVID-19. *Chest*. 2021. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2021.02.062>.
- Torres-Castro R, Vasconcello-Castillo L, Alsina-Restoy X, Solis-Navarro L, Burgos F, Puppo H, et al. Respiratory function in patients post-infection by COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pulmonology*. 2020. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pilmoe.2020.10.013>.
- Wie J, Yang H, Lei P, Fan B, Qiu Y, Zeng B, et al. Analysis of thin-section CT in patients with coronavirus disease (COVID-19) after hospital discharge. *J X-ray Sci Technol*. 2020;28:383–9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/XST-200685>.
- Yang R, Li X, Liu H, Zhen Y, Zhang X, Xiong Q, et al. Chest CT severity score: an imaging tool for assessing severe COVID-19. *Radiology*. 2020. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1148/ryct.2020200047>.
- Huang C, Huang L, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Gu X, et al. 6-Month consequences of COVID-19 in patients discharged from hospital: a cohort study. *Lancet*. 2021;397:220–32.
- Wu X, Liu X, Zhou Y, Yu H, Li R, Zhan Q, et al. 3-Month, 6-month, 9-month, and 12-month respiratory outcomes in patients following COVID-19-related hospitalisation: a prospective study. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2021;2600:1–8.
- Van Gassel RJJ, Bels JLM, Raafs A, van Bussel BCT, van de Poll MCG, Simons SO, et al. High prevalence of pulmonary sequelae at 3 months after hospital discharge in mechanically ventilated survivors of COVID-19. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med*. 2021;203:371–4. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202010-3823LE> [PMID: 33326353; PMCID: PMC7874313].

Ramón Cabo-Gambin<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>, Iván D. Benítez<sup>b,c,d</sup>,  
Paola Carmona<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>, Sally Santiesteve<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>,  
Olga Mínguez<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>, Rafaela Vaca<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>, Anna Moncusí-Moix<sup>b,c,d</sup>,  
Clara Gort-Paniello<sup>b,c,d</sup>, María C. García-Hidalgo<sup>b,c</sup>,  
David de Gonzalo-Calvo<sup>b,c,d</sup>, Jordi de Batlle<sup>b,c,d</sup>,  
Gerard Torres<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>, Antoni Torres<sup>d,e</sup>, Ferran Barbé<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>,  
Jessica González<sup>a,b,c,d,\*</sup>, on behalf of the CIBERESUCICOVID Project  
(COV20/00110 ISCIII)

<sup>a</sup> Pulmonary Department, Hospital Universitari Arnau de Vilanova  
and Santa Maria, Lleida, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Translational Research in Respiratory Medicine Group (TRRM),  
Lleida, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Lleida Biomedical Research Institute (IRBLleida), Lleida, Spain

<sup>d</sup> CIBER of Respiratory Diseases (CIBERES), Institute of Health Carlos  
III, Madrid, Spain

<sup>e</sup> Pulmonary Department, Hospital Clínic, Universitat de Barcelona,  
IDIBAPS, ICREA, Barcelona, Spain

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [jgonzalezgutierrez88@gmail.com](mailto:jgonzalezgutierrez88@gmail.com) (J. González).