



Editorial

 Positional nasal congestion. Sleep apnea's forgotten cousin[☆]

La congestión nasal posicional. El hermano olvidado de la apnea del sueño



Nasal obstruction as an independent cause of sleep apnea is a controversial topic¹. However, there is no doubt about its role in the subjective quality of sleep or in the tolerance and acceptance of CPAP² or mandibular advancement devices³.

The sensation of nasal obstruction after going to bed is a common complaint among patients attending otolaryngology and pulmonology consultations, especially among patients with sleep disorders⁴. This phenomenon is known as a postural effect and is explained by inferior turbinate hypertrophy in the supine position.

The pathophysiology behind this phenomenon is still unclear. Three main hypotheses have been proposed. The first suggests that nasal venous stasis occurs in a supine position. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that nasal resistance has been shown to increase when the internal jugular vein is compressed⁴. The second hypothesis suggests a reflex phenomenon mediated by deep baroreceptors, since nasal resistance increases when the axillary artery or the sides of the body are compressed, without needing to be a supine position^{5,6}. The third hypothesis, based mainly on animal studies, suggests that parasympathetic tone increases in the supine position^{7–9}.

There are several validated methods for measuring nasal ventilatory function, the gold standard being active anterior rhinomanometry¹⁰. Rhinomanometry calculates nasal resistance, i.e., the effort the subject has to make to breathe through the nose, from nasal airflow and the difference in pressure between the two nostrils. In the study of positional nasal congestion, De Vito et al. recommend performing both seated and supine rhinomanometry in a procedure they called positional rhinomanometry¹¹.

So far, 12 authors have explored changes in nasal resistance in a supine position using positional rhinomanometry, all of whom reported an increase in nasal resistance when patients lie down. Five groups included a total of 266 patients with snoring or sleep apnea^{3,11–14}. The 4 studies that were suitable for pooling in a meta-analysis showed a combined effect of worsening nasal resistance of 0.20 Pa s/cm³. Another 5 authors who studied healthy volunteers found a worsening of 0.10 Pa s/cm³ in this subgroup. To date, only 2 controlled studies have been conducted. One compared healthy controls with patients with sleep apnea¹³, while the other compared snorers with non-snorers¹⁴. In the first, Virkkula et al. found no statistically significant differences in increased nasal resistance

between the two groups¹³. In contrast, Desfonds et al. did not perform this comparison, but they did provide data from which it could be calculated, revealing statistically significant differences, with a greater increase in nasal resistance being observed in patients who snore¹⁴.

The reader unfamiliar with rhinomanometry might wonder if this effect is clinically relevant. Normal nasal resistance varies between 0.3–0.5 Pa s/cm³. A level of resistance higher than 0.80 Pa s/cm³ is considered severe nasal obstruction. Thus, it is understood that a worsening of 0.20 Pa s/cm³ on the scale presented is clinically relevant. However, it is interesting to note that the relationship between nasal resistance and nasal airflow is exponential. In patients with borderline nasal resistance (0.3–0.5), small variations in nasal resistance can cause large variations in airflow. This may cause the patient to switch from nasal breathing to mouth breathing. Few authors have explored this variable. In their series, De Vito et al. found that 31% of patients with apnea, with normal baseline nasal resistance, developed pathological resistance in a supine position¹¹. In this study, the authors set 0.5 Pa s/cm³ as the limit, so this percentage may have been higher if a stricter standard, such as 0.3 Pa s/cm³, had been used.

Little information is available on the treatment of this phenomenon. Topical nasal corticosteroids have been shown to normalize positional nasal congestion after 2 weeks in patients with allergic rhinitis⁷. A study in patients following radiofrequency turbinate reduction was performed in which positional nasal congestion was followed up in patients with vasomotor rhinitis¹⁵. However, no information is currently available on treatment in patients with sleep-disordered breathing.

In our opinion, the available evidence is insufficient to make clear recommendations. However, there is a clear imbalance between risk and benefit. First of all, we should ask our patients if nasal obstruction occurs when they go to bed, as we might be surprised by how common this complaint is. This possibility should even be explored in patients who are unaware of the problem, but who report that during the day they breathe through their nose, but at night they sleep with their mouth open. This effect can be confirmed by a risk-free examination that is available in many specialized centers. We therefore believe that, with the current evidence, we have an obligation to explore this possibility in our patients. The treatment of this condition may be more controversial. There is little doubt surrounding the use of topical nasal corticosteroids, which have a high safety profile, and radiofrequency turbinate reduction, a minimally invasive though more controversial surgical technique, may be worth exploring.

[☆] Please cite this article as: Calvo-Henríquez C, Abelleira R, González-Barcala FJ. La congestión nasal posicional. El hermano olvidado de la apnea del sueño. Arch Bronconeumol. 2021;57:453–454.

References

1. Migueis DP, Thuler LCS, de Andrade Lemes LN, Moreira CSS, Joffily L, de Araujo-Melo MH. Systematic review: the influence of nasal obstruction on sleep apnea. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol*. 2016;82:223–31.
2. Inoue A, Chiba S, Matsuura K, Osafune H, Capasso R, Wada K. Nasal function and CPAP compliance. *Auris Nasus Larynx*. 2019;46:548–58.
3. Zeng B, Ng AT, Qian J, Petocz P, Darendeliler MA, Cistulli PA. Influence of nasal resistance on oral appliance treatment outcome in obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep*. 2008;31:543–7.
4. Rundcrantz H. Postural variations of nasal patency. *Acta Otolaryngol (Stockh)*. 1969;68:435–43.
5. Davies AM, Eccles R. Reciprocal changes in nasal resistance to airflow caused by pressure applied to the axilla. *Acta Otolaryngol (Stockh)*. 1985;99:154–9.
6. Rao S, Potdar A. Nasal airflow with body in various positions. *J Appl Physiol*. 1970;28:162–5.
7. Hasegawa M, Saito Y. Postural variations in nasal resistance and symptomatology in allergic rhinitis. *Acta Otolaryngol (Stockh)*. 1979;88:268–72.
8. Anggård A, Edwall L. The effects of sympathetic nerve stimulation on the tracer disappearance rate and local blood content in the nasal mucosa of the cat. *Acta Otolaryngol (Stockh)*. 1974;77:131–9.
9. Eccles KS, Eccles R. Nasal vasodilation induced by electrical stimulation of the vagus nerve. *Rhinology*. 1982;20:89–92.
10. Valero A, Navarro AM, del Cuvillo A, Alobid I, Benito JR, Colás C, et al. Position paper on nasal obstruction: evaluation and treatment. *J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol*. 2018;28:67–90.
11. De Vito A, Berrettini S, Carabelli A, Sellari-Franceschini S, Bonanni E, Gori S, et al. The importance of nasal resistance in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: a study with positional rhinomanometry. *Sleep Breath Schlaf Atm*. 2001;5:3–11.
12. Huang C-C, Cheng P-W, Liao L-J, Huang T-W. Reduction of postural nasal resistance following oropharyngeal surgery in patients with moderate-severe obstructive sleep apnea. *Rhinology*. 2020.
13. Virkkula P, Maasilta P, Hytönen M, Salmi T, Malmberg H. Nasal obstruction and sleep-disordered breathing: the effect of supine body position on nasal measurements in snorers. *Acta Otolaryngol (Stockh)*. 2003;123:648–54.
14. Desfonds P, Planès C, Fuhrman C, Foucher A, Raffestin B. Nasal resistance in snorers with or without sleep apnea: effect of posture and nasal ventilation with continuous positive airway pressure. *Sleep*. 1998;21:625–32.
15. Altissimi G, Gallucci L, Simoncelli C. Positional rhinomanometry in hypertrophic vasomotor chronic rhinitis: considerations before and after functional surgery of turbinates. *Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital*. 1992;12:363–9.

Christian Calvo-Henríquez,^{a,b,*} Romina Abelleira,^c

Francisco J. González-Barcala^c

^a *Rhinology Study Group of the Young-Otolaryngologists of the International Federations of Oto-rhino-laryngological Societies (YO-IFOS), París, France*

^b *Service of Otolaryngology, Hospital Complex of Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, La Coruña, Spain*

^c *Service of Pneumology, Hospital Complex of Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, La Coruña, Spain*

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: Christian.Ezequiel.Calvo.Henriquez@sergas.es
(C. Calvo-Henríquez).

26 October 2020