

Journal Pre-proof

Out with the old, in with the new: what changes in the 9th edition of the TNM classification for lung cancer?

Ramón Rami-Porta MD Hisao Asamura Valerie W. Rusch



PII: S0300-2896(25)00078-X

DOI: <https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.arbres.2025.02.016>

Reference: ARBRES 3756

To appear in: *Archivos de Bronconeumología*

Received Date: 23 February 2025

Please cite this article as: Rami-Porta R, Asamura H, Rusch VW, Out with the old, in with the new: what changes in the 9th edition of the TNM classification for lung cancer?, *Archivos de Bronconeumología* (2025), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2025.02.016>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2025 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of SEPAR.

Editorial for *Archivos de Bronconeumología*

Out with the old, in with the new: what changes in the 9th edition of the TNM classification for lung cancer?

Ramón Rami-Porta,^{1,2*} Hisao Asamura,³ and Valerie W. Rusch⁴

¹ Department of Thoracic Surgery, Hospital Universitari Mutua Terrassa, University of Barcelona, Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain

² Network of Centres for Biomedical Research in Respiratory Diseases (CIBERES) Lung Cancer Group, Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain

³ Tokyo Dental College, Ichikawa General Hospital, Chiba, Japan.

⁴ Department of Surgery, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

*** Author and address for correspondence:**

Ramón Rami-Porta, MD, Department of Thoracic Surgery, Hospital Universitari Mútua Terrassa, Plaza Dr. Robert 5, 08221 Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain. email: rramip@yahoo.es

Editorial for *Archivos de Bronconeumología*

Out with the old, in with the new: what changes in the 9th edition of the TNM classification for lung cancer?

Since the 6th edition of the tumour, node and metastasis (TNM) classification of lung cancer was published in 2002, the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer (IASLC) has had the responsibility to revise the subsequent editions of the classification. Based on international databases including patients with lung cancer from around the world and treated with all types

of therapies, the IASLC Staging and Prognostic Factors Group (SPFG) proposed changes that were implemented in the 7th, the 8th and the 9th editions of the classification that is conjointly promulgated by the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) and the Union for International Cancer Control (UICC).¹⁻³

For the 9th edition, 124,581 patients diagnosed with lung cancer between 2011 and 2019 in 75 institutions of 25 countries were registered in the IASLC database. Cancer Research And Biostatistics (CRAB) managed and curated this database that, after exclusions, resulted in a total of 87,043 evaluable patients. Among these, there were 73,197 with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) –65,060 with clinical stage and 47,513 with pathologic stage–, 8,045 with neuroendocrine tumours, 1,575 with multiple synchronous primaries, and 4,228 with other types of lung cancer. The Bronchogenic Carcinoma Cooperative Group III of the Spanish Society of Pneumology and Thoracic Surgery (SEPAR) contributed 1,381 patients, and the University Hospital of Valencia, the University Hospital of Son Espases and the University Clinic of Navarra-CIMA registered 794 additional patients.⁴ The granularity of this database allowed the analyses of all T, N and M categories, and the proposal for changes in the 9th edition TNM that were accepted by the AJCC⁵ and the UICC.

The survival of patients whose primary tumours had been classified with the 8th edition T categories was analysed in the 9th edition database. The 8th edition T categories separated tumours of significantly different prognosis, both at clinical and pathologic evaluation. Therefore, there was no need to modify the 8th edition T categories, which passed unaltered to the 9th edition TNM.⁶

For decades, there had been discussions on how to classify tumours for which the TNM rules did not fit. To facilitate their homogeneous classification, Mountain had suggested their categories.⁷ Some of these situations were introduced into the official TNM descriptors but

others remained published separately.⁸ For the 9th edition, these descriptors have been transferred to the official list to make them more visible and facilitate the assignment of their categories. These descriptors are: the invasion of an adjacent lobe is T2a, unless tumour size determines a higher T category; the pericardium, with no distinction between parietal and visceral, the phrenic nerve, the azygos vein, the thoracic nerve roots and the stellate ganglion are T3 descriptors; and the thymus, the vagus nerve, the supra-aortic arteries and brachiocephalic veins, the subclavian vessels, the lamina, the spinal canal, the cervical nerve roots and the brachial plexus are T4. The term Pancoast tumour has been avoided and the structures that classify it as T3 and T4 have been listed, instead.³

There are several ways to quantify nodal disease. In the 7th and the 8th TNM editions, the number of involved nodal zones and the number of involved nodal stations, respectively, separated tumours with different prognosis but only at pathologic evaluation.^{9, 10} Those important findings could not be validated at clinical evaluation and, therefore, were not implemented in their respective TNM editions. In the 9th, a simple way to quantify nodal disease could be validated both at clinical and pathologic evaluations: the subdivision of N2 into N2a – involvement of a single N2 nodal station– and N2b –involvement of several N2 nodal stations. Differences in survival between N2a and N2b held when analysed by T categories, by geographic region, by histologic type, by completeness of resection and in those patients treated with induction therapy. They were also validated with the 8th edition database.¹¹ These findings corroborate the prognostic relevance of the amount of nodal involvement. The possibility to validate them at clinical evaluation is an indication that clinical staging is improving over the years. No doubt the advances in computed tomography and the progressive introduction of positron emission tomography and endobronchial ultrasound transbronchial needle aspiration into clinical practice have improved clinical staging to the point that it is now much closer to the pathologic staging than in the periods of the previous TNM revisions.

Nearly 15,000 patients with distant metastases in the 9th edition database allowed the validation of the 8th edition M1a –intrathoracic metastases– and M1b –single extrathoracic metastasis– categories, and the refinement of M1c –multiple distant extrathoracic metastases. As in the analyses of the 8th edition database, those of the 9th edition confirmed the similar prognosis of M1a and M1b, which remain in the stage IVA. However, in the analyses of the 9th edition database, multiple extrathoracic metastases in a single organ system were found to have better prognosis than multiple extrathoracic metastases in multiple organ systems. These two situations were coded as M1c1 and M1c2, respectively, and remain in stage IVB.¹² The term “organ system” was used in the wording of these descriptors to clarify, for example, that metastases in several bones with no other metastatic sites represent multiple metastases in a single organ system, the musculoskeletal system. In the same way, multiple lymph node involvement beyond the loco-regional lymph nodes included in the lymph node chart represent multiple metastases in the lymphatic system.¹³ Both situations are classified as M1c1. However, the involvement of the musculoskeletal and the lymphatic systems would be classified as M1c2.

The subdivision of N2 into N2a and N2b created new tumour groups that did not explicitly exist in the previous TNM editions. Survival of these new tumours was analysed and, depending on their survival, they were assigned to either the same or to different stages compared with the 8th edition stages. The changes in the 9th edition are: T1N2a was assigned to stage IIB; T2N2b was assigned to stage IIIB; and T3N2a was assigned to stage IIIA. Additionally, T1N1 tumours were found to have better prognosis than those in the 8th edition stage IIB –the stage where they belonged–, and were downstaged to the 9th edition stage IIA. These changes held in all cell types, in all geographic regions, in different study periods, in patients with different performance status, and in the different types of data sets.³ Figure 1 shows the 9th edition stage groups compared with those of the 8th edition.

Cancer cells spread through air spaces (STAS) beyond the tumour edge can be found in over 20% of stage I lung cancers. STAS has been proven to be a strong prognostic factor in all cell

types and all types of lung resections. In the 9th edition TNM it will be added to lymphovascular invasion, perineural permeation and visceral pleura invasion as a pathologic descriptor.¹⁴

The innovations of the 9th edition TNM improve our understanding of the prognostic impact of the anatomic extent of lung cancer but require a thorough clinical and pathologic evaluation of mediastinal nodal disease and of the number and organ location of the distant metastases. Only with a sound clinical and pathologic staging will the innovations be useful in clinical practice and research.

Contribution of each author.

RRP: Conceptualization, writing, revising and editing.

HA: Conceptualization, revising and editing.

VWR: Conceptualization, revising and editing.

Conflict of Interest:

Ramón Rami-Porta has no conflicts of interest. Hisao Asamura declares lecture fees from Covidien Japan and Johnson & Johnson. Valerie W. Rusch declares her work is supported in part by the NIH Cancer Center Support Grant P30-CA008748 to Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA; participation in the Data Safety Monitoring Board for UK MARS-2 trial (completed and published); and being Chair, IASLC Staging and Prognostic Factors Group (2024-2031).

References

1. Goldstraw P, Crowley J, Chansky K, Giroux DJ, Groome PA, Rami-Porta R, et al. The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: proposals for the revision of the TNM stage groupings in the forthcoming (seventh) edition of the TNM Classification of malignant tumours. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2007; **2**:706-14.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/JTO.0b013e31812f3c1a>
2. Goldstraw P, Chansky K, Crowley J, Rami-Porta R, Asamura H, Eberhardt WEE, et al. The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: proposals for revision of the TNM stage groupings in the forthcoming (eighth) edition of the TNM classification for lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2016;**11**:39-51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2015.09.009>
3. Rami-Porta R, Nishimura KK, Giroux DJ, Detterbeck F, Cardillo G, Edwards JG, et al. The International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer Lung Cancer Staging Project: proposals for revision of the TNM stage groups in the forthcoming (ninth) edition of the TNM classification for lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2024;**19**:1007-27.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2024.02.011>
4. Asamura H, Nishimura KK, Giroux DJ, Chansky K, Hoering A, Rusch V, et al. IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: the new database to inform revisions in the ninth edition of the TNM classification of lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2023;**18**:564-75.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2023.01.088>
5. Asamura H, Farjah F, Gill R, Osarogiagbon RU, Rami-Porta R, Travis WD, et al. AJCC Cancer Staging System, version 9, Lung. American College of Surgeons; 2024.
6. Van Schil PE, Asamura H, Nishimura KK, Rami-Porta R, Kim YT, Bertoglio P, et al. The International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer Lung Cancer Staging Project: proposals for the revisions of the T-descriptors in the forthcoming ninth edition of the TNM classification for lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2024;**19**:749-65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2023.12.006>

7. Mountain CF. Lung cancer staging when the rules don't fit and other considerations. In: Motta G, editor. Lung Cancer, Frontiers in Science and Treatment. Grafica LP: Genoa; 1994; p: 99-116.
8. Wittekind C, Brierley JD, Lee A, van Eycken E. UICC TNM Supplement. A Commentary on Uniform Use. 5th edition. Wiley Blackwell: Oxford; 2019; p: 85-101.
9. Rusch VW, Crowley J, Giroux DJ, Goldstraw P, Im JG, Tsuboi M et al. The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: proposals for the revision of the N descriptors in the forthcoming seventh edition of the TNM classification for lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol. 2007;**2**:603-12. <http://doi.org/10.1097/JTO.0b013e31807ec803>
10. Asamura H, Chansky K, Crowley J, Goldstraw P, Rusch VW, Vansteenkiste JF, et al. The International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer Lung Cancer Staging Project: proposals for the revision of the N descriptors in the forthcoming 8th edition of the TNM classification for lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol. 2015;**10**:1675-84. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JTO.0000000000000678>
11. Huang J, Osarogiagbon RU, Giroux DJ, Nishimura KK, Bille A, Cardillo G, et al. The International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer Staging project for Lung Cancer: proposals for the revision of the N descriptors in the forthcoming ninth edition of the TNM classification for lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol. 2024;**5**:766-85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2023.10.012>
12. Fong KM, Rosenthal A, Giroux DJ, Nishimura KK, Erasmus J, Lievens Y, et al. The International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer Staging project for Lung Cancer: proposals for the revision of the M descriptors in the forthcoming ninth edition for the TNM classification for lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol. 2024;**19**:786-802. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2024.01.019>
13. Rusch VW, Asamura H, Watanabe H, Giroux DJ, Rami-Porta R, Goldstraw P. The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: a proposal for a new international lymph node map in the forthcoming seventh edition of the TNM classification for lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol. 2009;**4**:568-77. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JTO.0b013e3181a0d82e>

14. Travis WD, Eisele M, Nishimura K, Aly RG, Bertoglio P, Chou TY, et al. The International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer (IASLC) Staging Project for Lung Cancer: recommendation to introduce spread through air spaces as a histologic descriptor in the ninth edition of the TNM classification of lung cancer. Analysis of 4061 pathologic stage I NSCLC. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2024;**19**:1028-51.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2024.03.015>

Journal Pre-proof

Figure legend

8 th Ed TNM Categories and Stages						9 th Ed TNM Categories and Stages						
8 th Ed TNM Categories						9 th Ed TNM Categories						
T/M	Label	N0	N1	N2	N3	T/M	Description	N0	N1	N2	N3	
										N2c	N2b	
T1	T1a	IA1	IIB	IIIA	IIIB	T1	T1a ≤1 cm	IA1	IIA	IIB	IIIA	IIIB
	T1b	IA2	IIB	IIIA	IIIB		T1b >1 to ≤2 cm	IA2	IIA	IIB	IIIA	IIIB
	T1c	IA3	IIB	IIIA	IIIB		T1c >2 to ≤3 cm	IA3	IIA	IIB	IIIA	IIIB
T2	T2a Invasion	IB	IIB	IIIA	IIIB	T2	T2a Visceral pleura / central invasion	IB	IIB	IIIA	IIIB	IIIC
	T2a >3-4	IB	IIB	IIIA	IIIB		T2a >3 to ≤4 cm	IB	IIB	IIIA	IIIB	IIIC
	T2b >4-5	IIA	IIB	IIIA	IIIB		T2b >4 to ≤5 cm	IIA	IIB	IIIA	IIIB	IIIC
T3	T3 >5-7	IIB	IIIA	IIIB	IIIC	T3	T3 >5 to ≤7 cm	IIB	IIIA	IIIA	IIIB	IIIC
	T3 Invasion	IIB	IIIA	IIIB	IIIC		T3 Invasion	IIB	IIIA	IIIA	IIIB	IIIC
	T3 Same lobe nod	IIB	IIIA	IIIB	IIIC		T3 Same lobe tumor nodule	IIB	IIIA	IIIA	IIIB	IIIC
T4	T4 >7	IIIA	IIIA	IIIB	IIIC	T4	T4 >7 cm	IIIA	IIIA	IIIB	IIIB	IIIC
	T4 Invasion	IIIA	IIIA	IIIB	IIIC		T4 Invasion	IIIA	IIIA	IIIB	IIIB	IIIC
	T4 Ipsil nodule	IIIA	IIIA	IIIB	IIIC		T4 Ipsilateral tumor nodule	IIIA	IIIA	IIIB	IIIB	IIIC
M1	M1a Pl dissem	IVA	IVA	IVA	IVA	M1	M1a Pleural / pericardial dissemination	IVA	IVA	IVA	IVA	IVA
	M1a Contr nodule	IVA	IVA	IVA	IVA		M1a Contralateral tumor nodule	IVA	IVA	IVA	IVA	IVA
	M1b Single lesion	IVA	IVA	IVA	IVA		M1b Single extrathoracic lesion	IVA	IVA	IVA	IVA	IVA
	M1c Mult lesions	IVB	IVB	IVB	IVB		M1c1 Multiple lesions, 1 organ system	IVB	IVB	IVB	IVB	IVB
						M1c2 Multiple lesions, >1 organ system	IVB	IVB	IVB	IVB	IVB	

Figure 1. Stage groups of the 8th and of the 9th editions of the TNM classification for lung cancer. Cells highlighted in red are those where changes have taken place. Nod: nodules; Ipsi: ipsilateral; Pl: pleural; dissem: dissemination; Contr: contralateral; Mult: multiple. Taken from reference 3 with permission.