ARTICLE IN PRESS

Archivos de Bronconeumología xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx



ARCHIVOS DE **Bronconeumología**

ACCHIVOS DE Bronconeumología

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

65

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

85

www.archbronconeumol.org

Editorial

12

13

15

38

Weaning From Mechanical Ventilation in Chronic Critically Ill Patients

Chronic critically ill patients are characterized by prolonged stays in the ICU and provoked by prolonged necessity of organ support. Except for renal, selected cases of cardiac failure and hypercapnic respiratory failure, other prolonged organ failures necessarily lead to recovery, response to treatment or death, including hypoxemic respiratory failure. This is mainly caused by the safety profile of organ support devices these patients need (e.g. haemodialysis, long-term implantable cardiac assist devices or noninvasive ventilation), making possible their chronic clinical management in step-down units, general wards or home.

Thus, a chronic critically ill patient mainly comes from dependency on invasive mechanical ventilation after recovery of the initial injury, irrespective of the damaged organ. This dependency is secondary to the ICU-acquired syndrome, especially muscular weakness and neurological impairment including delirium.

Invasive mechanical ventilation is defined for patients being ventilated directly into the trachea. Although tracheostomies were initially designed to avoid airway injury secondary to prolonged harmful orotracheal tubes, technological improvements have modified its indications to other meaningful clinical outcomes, including comfort, reduced need for sedatives, risk for developing a ventilator-associated respiratory infection, facilitating mobilization and communication, and reduced training needed for health-care workers and relatives. Tracheostomy can be considered a support device for patients with airway failure, as it is associated with increased mortality under some clinical conditions. Its intermediate safety profile has generated difficulties in deciding where to manage tracheostomized patients. On the one hand patients liberated from mechanical ventilation with persistent airway failure for neurological impairment, inability to deal with respiratory secretions, swallowing dysfunction or airway patency problems have increased risk for death when discharged to general wards¹; on the other hand, tracheostomy facilitate clinical protocols including disconnections from mechanical ventilation,² first line approach to wean these patients. This generates partial dependence on the ventilator, opening the room for management at respiratory step-down units.

In addition, related extra-pulmonary clinical impairment (immunosuppression, cognitive dysfunction, malnutrition, neuromuscular alterations, impaired wound healing, etc.) usually make frailty incompletely recovered, further limiting discharge to general wards.³

It is expected an increase in the number of chronic critically ill patients: it is well-established that early tracheostomy reduce weaning time. However, it has been recently reported a benefit in reducing the number of ventilator-associated pneumonias, allowing to reduce the time on total ventilator support, further shortening ICU stay, increasing ventilator-free days, and lowering mortality.⁴ During the COVID-19 pandemic, it was also observed that early tracheostomy could be used for ICU occupancy management by shortening hospital stay.⁵

Therefore, health care systems should plan the creation of more respiratory step-down units, where multidisciplinary teams could facilitate a multimodal approach.

These specialized units should include personal trained for specific management necessities focused on weaning from mechanical ventilation, decannulation of the tracheostomy, but also involving airway and nutritional management, rehabilitation, psychological support, speech therapy, etc.⁶ Briefly, these skills can be summarized as follows.

First, focusing on weaning from mechanical ventilation, clinical expertise is needed to early detect time to start weaning attempts.² The use of protocols including progressive decrease in ventilator support result in delayed weaning compared to progressive spontaneous breathing trials in most patients.² In addition, it is also important to early detect cases with specific respiratory clinical conditions affecting very difficult to wean patients and limiting the application of standard protocols (e.g. proximal or distal airway malacia), which merit development of different weaning protocols.

Second the airway management: knowledge of available material for tracheal cannulation deserve special mention. Some modifications of tracheal cannulas facilitate prolonging periods of spontaneous breathing (e.g. fenestrated tracheostomy tubes, cannulas with a smaller internal diameter, deflating the cuff). Increasing the effective airway diameter not only reduces weaning time, but decreases respiratory infection rates, and shortens ICU stays.⁷

Third, spontaneous breathing through the tracheostomy should be accompanied by humidified and heated oxygen, as this reduces the need for suctioning and improves mucociliary function. To achieve this airway conditioning, high-flow oxygen should be administered via the trachea, with flow rates of at least 50 L per minute and a temperature of $37\,^{\circ}\text{C}$. Although this does not reduce the work of breathing, 10 it does improve oxygenation and respiratory rate. 9

Fourth, decannulation should always be considered, even before definitive weaning from the ventilator is achieved. Additional requirements need attention: the indication for the tracheostomy has to been resolved, the patient has an adequate level of consciousness and laryngopharyngeal function, based on evaluation of

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2025.02.002

0300-2896/© 2025 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of SEPAR.

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

119

120

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

139

141

142

143

147

1402

ARTICLE IN PRESS

M.M. Doblas, R.S. Baillo and G.H. Martinez

Archivos de Bronconeumología xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

153

155

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

airway patency, phonation and swallowing functions. 11 Screening of airway obstruction can be performed with a clinical test to ensure airway patency with a rapid occlusion test. The tube is occluded for 5 min or less, and the patient's ability to phonate is checked as well as any sign of respiratory insufficiency or stridor. If this test fails, an endoscopic evaluation of the entire airway should be conducted to assess the glottis and subglottic areas for anatomical and functional abnormalities.8 This test should be performed by highly experienced airway endoscope specialist. Finally, the last step in decannulation evaluation should include the assessment of patient's ability to manage secretions. Traditionally prolonged capping trials were required to evaluate the patient's pharyngolarvngeal function and respiratory secretions management before decannulation (e.g. 24-72 h).8,11 However, this method has been shown to delay the entire process, 12 in part because validated objective monitoring of cough efficacy is lacking beyond degenerative neuromuscular diseases. 13 The whole secretion management can be monitored by the number of suctioning procedures needed, as secretions production and cough strength cannot be measured separately. The lower the aspiration requirements including the night period, the greater the chance to successful decannulation, allowing for a personalized approach. This has been shown to decrease the incidence of respiratory infections and reduce the time to decannulation without increasing the failure rate or the need for

Fifth, during the weaning period, the patient should undergo multimodal rehabilitation throughout the entire process, which includes physiotherapy, dysphagia assessment and rehabilitation, cognitive therapy, psychological support, and family involvement in care, among others. Respiratory rehabilitation should be implemented separately from torso and limbs rehabilitation by dedicated teams. In this regard, mechanical cough assistants are being increasingly introduced in these units. These devices provide positive pressure followed by a rapid change to negative pressure, simulating what happens during a normal cough, thus helping the patient mobilize secretions during rehabilitation. Ongoing research will provide details on personalized settings and additional indications. 14,15

Sixth, few is known in benchmarking on best quality management in tracheostomized patients. It has been generally accepted that decannulation failure rate should be around 2.5–5%, ^{8,11} but this assumption comes from the unreal homogenization of this population. Different subgroups awaits for redefinition, according to mid and long-term prognosis and real capability of functional recovery. Therapeutic effort limitation in these patients needs further research to avoid wasting the limited health care resources.

Different options for respiratory step-down units have been described. 16,5 However, selection of optimal design and resources allocation should be tailored according to local practices and hospital conditions.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor

None.

Conflict of Interest

Dr. Hernandez reports travel expenses and personal fees from Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Ltd.

1403 References

1. Hernández G, Fernández R, Sánchez Casado M, Cuena R, Lopez-Reina P, Zamora S, et al. Tracheostomy tube in place at intensive care unit discharge is asso-

- ciated with increased ward mortality. Respir Care. 2009;54:1644–52. PubMed 19961629.
- Jubran A, Grant BJB, Duffner LA, Collins EG, Lanuza DM, Hoffman LA, et al. Effect of pressure support vs unassisted breathing through a tracheostomy collar on weaning duration in patients requiring prolonged mechanical ventilation: a randomized trial. JAMA. 2013;309:671-7, http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.159.
- 3. García-de-Lorenzo A, Añón JM, Asensio MJ, Burgueño P. Chronic critical illness, how to manage it? Med Intens. 2022;46:277–87, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.medine.2022.02.017.
- 4. Chorath K, Hoang A, Rajasekaran K, Moreira A. Association of early vs late tracheostomy placement with pneumonia and ventilator days in critically ill patients: a meta-analysis. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2021;147:450–9, http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamaoto.2021.0025.
- Hernández G, Ramos FJ, Añon JM, Ortiz R, Colinas L, Masclans JR, et al. Early tracheostomy for managing ICU capacity during the COVID-19 outbreak: a propensity-matched cohort study. Chest. 2022;161:121–9, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2021.06.015.
- 5. Renner C, Jeitziner MM, Albert M, Brinkmann S, Diserens K, Działowski I, et al. Guideline on multimodal rehabilitation for patients with post-intensive care syndrome. Crit Care. 2023;27:301, http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13054-023-04569-5.
- Hernández G, Pedrosa A, Ortiz R, Cruz Accuaroni MM, Cuena R, Vaquero Collado C, et al. The effects of increasing effective airway diameter on weaning from mechanical ventilation in tracheostomized patients: a randomized controlled trial. Intensive Care Med. 2013;39:1063–70, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00134-013-2870-7.
- 8. Trouillet JL, Collange O, Belafia F, Blot F, Capellier G, Cesareo E, et al. Tracheotomy in the intensive care unit: guidelines from a French expert panel. Ann Intensive Care. 2018;8:37, http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13613-018-0381-y.
- 9. Natalini D, Grieco DL, Santantonio MT, Mincione L, Toni F, Anzellotti GM, et al. Physiological effects of high-flow oxygen in tracheostomized patients. Ann Intensive Care. 2019;9:114, http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13613-019-0591-y.
- 10. Stripoli T, Spadaro S, Di Mussi R, Volta CA, Trerotoli P, De Carlo F, et al. High-flow oxygen therapy in tracheostomized patients at high risk of weaning failure. Ann Intensive Care. 2019;9:4, http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13613-019-0482-2.
- 11. Mitchell RB, Hussey HM, Setzen G, Jacobs IN, Nussenbaum B, Dawson C, et al. Clinical consensus statement: tracheostomy care. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2013;148:6–20, http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0194599812460376.
- 12. Hernández G, Rodríguez ML, Vaquero MC, Ortiz R, Masclans JR, Roca O, et al. High-flow oxygen with capping or suctioning for tracheostomy decannulation. N Engl J Med. 2020;383:1009–17, http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2010834.
- 13. Jingyi G, Guangyu N, Qing L, Yi L, Bo Y, Haiming G, et al. Cough flows as a criterion for decannulation of autonomously breathing patients with tracheostomy tubes. Respir Res. 2024;25:128, http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12931-024-02762-w.
- Chatwin M, Wakeman RH. Mechanical insufflation-exsufflation: considerations for improving clinical practice. J Clin Med. 2023;12:2626, http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jcm12072626.
- 15. Marti JD, Martínez-Alejos R, Pilar-Diaz X, Yang H, Pagliara F, Battaglini D, et al. Effects of mechanical insufflation–exsufflation with different pressure settings on respiratory mucus displacement during invasive ventilation. Respir Care. 2022;67:1508–16, http://dx.doi.org/10.4187/respcare.10173.
- Lopez-Padilla D, Corral Blanco M, Ferrer Espinosa S, Romero Peralta S, Sampol J, Terán Tinedo JR, et al. Intermediate respiratory care units: questions and answers. Open Respir Arch. 2022;4:100220, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.opresp.2022.100220.

Margarita Márquez Doblas^a, Rafael Sánchez Baíllo^b, **Q1** Gonzalo Hernández Martínez ^{a,c,d,e,*}

^a Intensive Care Department, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Toledo, Toledo, Spain

^b Respiratory Diseases Department, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Toledo, Toledo, Spain

^c Ciber Enfermedades Respiratorias (CIBERES), Health Institute Carlos III. Madrid, Spain

> ^d Grupo de Investigación en Disfunción y Fallo Orgánico en la Agresión (IdiPAZ), Madrid, Spain

^e Universidad Alfonso X el Sabio, Madrid, Spain

Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ghernandezm@telefonica.net (G.H. Martínez).