# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

Archivos de Bronconeumología xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx



ARCHIVOS DE Bronconeumología



www.archbronconeumol.org

### **Original Article**

## Transbronchial Cryobiopsy Versus Transbronchial Forceps Biopsy for Acute Cellular Rejection Detection in Lung Transplantation:

A Meta-Analysis

## <sup>4</sup> **Q1** Yan Luo<sup>a</sup>, Sheng-ping Li<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Pediatrics, Chengdu First People's Hospital, Chengdu 610041, China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Endoscopy Center, Sichuan Clinical Research Center for Cancer, Sichuan Cancer Hospital & Institute, Sichuan Cancer Center, Affiliated Cancer Hospital of University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 610041, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 9 April 2024

Accepted 12 June 2024
Available online xxx

Available online xxx

Keywords:

10

16

- 17 Transbronchial lung cryobiopsy
- 18 Transbronchial forceps biopsy
- 19 Lung transplant
- 20 Acute cellular rejection
- 21 Meta-analysis

#### ABSTRACT

*Background:* Transbronchial cryobiopsy (TBCB) provides larger tissue samples and improved sampling depth, but its role in diagnosing acute cellular rejection (ACR) in lung transplant patients is unclear due to limitations in existing studies. To address this, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the efficacy and safety of TBCB.

*Methods:* A thorough literature review was conducted to evaluate TBCB in post-lung transplant surveillance, assessing the quality of studies and conducting a meta-analysis comparing diagnostic yields of TBCB and transbronchial forceps biopsy (TBFB), as well as evaluating procedural complications.

*Results:* Our meta-analysis, incorporating 11 studies with a total of 915 patients, showed that TBCB had a diagnostic rate of 38.27% (225/588) for ACR post-lung transplantation, notably higher than the 35.65% (251/704) for TBFB. The inverse-variance weighted odds ratio was calculated at 2.32 (95% confidence interval: 1.24–4.32; p = 0.008). Funnel plot analysis indicated no major publication bias. Meta-analysis of 6 studies demonstrated that TBCB, compared to TBFB, significantly increased the diagnostic rate for chronic rejection post-transplantation (25.00% vs 10.93%, p = 0.005). Our meta-analysis comparing the safety of TBCB and TBFB in post-lung transplant surveillance found no significant differences in moderate to severe bleeding (5.99% vs 6.31%, p = 0.98), or pneumothorax incidence (3.90% vs 3.29%, p = 0.75). *Conclusions:* Our study indicates that TBCB may enhance the diagnosis of acute and chronic rejection post-lung transplantation vith a safety profile comparable to TBFB. Further research and the development of standardized procedures are warranted to ensure the safe and effective application of TBCB in broader

clinical practice. © 2024 SEPAR. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

#### 22 Introduction

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

In most clinical scenarios, bronchoscopy procedures such as transbronchial lung biopsy (TBLB) and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) are typically sufficient to diagnose acute cellular rejection (ACR) in lung transplant recipients, often making surgical lung biopsy unnecessary for diagnostic confirmation.<sup>1–3</sup> Yet, the limited sample size and presence of extrusion artifacts in tissue samples from transbronchial forceps biopsy (TBFB) present significant challenges for pathologists in confidently diagnosing ACR.<sup>4</sup> This limitation is evidenced in the literature by the low sensitivity

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: lishengping1218@163.com (S.-p. Li).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2024.06.006

of TBFB for this condition.<sup>5</sup> Although BAL is effective in identifying infections, its efficacy in conclusively diagnosing rejection is less clear.<sup>6</sup> Conversely, while surgical biopsy yields an ample amount of pathological tissue for detailed analysis, its invasive nature increases the risk of secondary infection, delayed wound healing, and other complications associated with immunosuppression, which in turn limits its clinical utility.

In recent years, transbronchial cryobiopsy (TBCB) has emerged as a viable alternative bronchoscopic procedure for histological sampling in the diagnostic evaluation of lung diseases.<sup>7</sup> TBCB has been extensively utilized for the collection of samples from a range of diseases, including lung tumors, interstitial lung diseases, and pulmonary infections,<sup>8–10</sup> owing to its capacity to procure larger pathological tissue samples and minimize the occurrence of artifacts. Numerous studies have substantiated the efficacy and safety

44

45

46

32

33

0300-2896/© 2024 SEPAR. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Please cite this article as: Y. Luo and S.-p. Li, Transbronchial Cryobiopsy Versus Transbronchial Forceps Biopsy for Acute Cellular Rejection Detection in Lung Transplantation: A Meta-Analysis, Archivos de Bronconeumología, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2024.06.006

#### G Model ARBRES 3601 1–7

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

Y. Luo and S.-p. Li

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

#### of this technique, thereby potentially addressing the shortcomings associated with TBFB and surgical lung biopsy.<sup>11,12</sup> However, due to the limited data currently available on the safety and efficacy of post-lung transplantation monitoring, the application value of TBCB in ACR after lung transplantation, especially in terms of safety, remains controversial compared to TBFB.<sup>13–18</sup> The conclusions regarding the incidence rates of moderate to severe bleeding and pneumothorax for these two techniques vary significantly across different studies.

A prior meta-analysis examined the utility of TBCB in monitor-56 ing patients after lung transplantation, indicating that compared 57 to TBFB, this procedure yields more tissue samples and fewer 58 artifacts.<sup>19</sup> However, the meta-analysis did not address the diag-59 nostic efficacy and safety of TBCB in detecting acute cellular 60 rejection (ACR) in lung transplant recipients. Additionally, the value 61 of the meta-analysis for guiding clinical practice is limited as it 62 is based solely on a conference abstract that includes four stud-63 ies. After conducting a review of the literature, it was found that a 64 number of additional original studies have been published subse-65 quent to the aforementioned meta-analysis. Due to the variability 66 in reporting methods among these original studies, the shortcom-67 ings of the prior meta-analysis, and the influx of new research in this field, it was deemed necessary to conduct a new meta-analysis. Therefore, the aim of this meta-analysis is to incorporate newly 70 published literature for a comprehensive and thorough review, 71 assessing the effectiveness and safety of TBCB compared to TBFB 72 in diagnosing ACR post-lung transplantation. 73

### 74 Materials and methods

This study conducted a meta-analysis of existing data in accordance with the guidelines outlined in the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses statement (PRISMA-DTA).<sup>20</sup> As a result, ethics committee approval was deemed unnecessary. Furthermore, the meta-analysis has been registered in PROSPERO under the registration number CRD42024513485 (https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/p rospero/).

#### 82 Search strategy

A comprehensive literature search was carried out in the 83 PubMed, EMBASE, Web of Science, and Scopus databases from their 84 inception up to February 11, 2024. The search strategy included the 85 terms "cryobiopsy," "cryoprobe biopsy," and "lung transplantation" 86 OR "lung allograft," which were applied to titles and abstracts. Fur-87 thermore, references of the included articles were examined for 88 additional pertinent studies, and conference abstracts were con-89 sulted to identify unpublished research. The full texts of all selected 90 studies were meticulously reviewed to ascertain their adherence to 91 the PICOS (population, intervention, comparison, outcome, study 92 design) criteria. The research involved a cohort of post-lung trans-93 plant individuals undergoing bronchoscopy, with interventions 94 comprising TBCB and TBFB. The control group was comprised of 95 patients who solely underwent TBFB, and the study assessed diag-96 nostic yield and complications as outcome measures. 97

98 Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria

In the present meta-analysis, randomized controlled trials and 99 observational studies were incorporated to compare the efficacy 100 of TBCB and TBFB in detecting ACR following lung transplanta-101 tion. These studies evaluated the diagnostic yield of both biopsy 102 methods in diagnosing ACR post-transplantation, while also docu-103 menting any associated complications. Case reports or series with 104 105 fewer than four subjects, lung transplantation studies utilizing only TBCB or TBFB for diagnosing ACR of lung transplantation, as well as 106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

studies with non-standardized procedures and duplicate data were all excluded from the analysis.

### Data extraction and outcomes assessed

In order to streamline the management of literature, the retrieved results were imported into EndNote20 software for the purpose of eliminating duplicate literature and conducting initial screening. The eligibility of included papers was independently evaluated by two reviewers (SP Li and Y Luo) based on predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Upon determining that the screened literature met the criteria for inclusion, pertinent data such as the first author's name, year of publication, age of participant, design of study, criteria for selection, and other relevant outcomes were extracted from the articles.

The primary aim of this meta-analysis was to assess the diagnostic efficacy of TBCB compared to TBFB in cases of ACR following lung transplantation. The secondary objectives included evaluating the diagnostic efficacy of TBCB versus TBFB in cases of chronic rejection after lung transplantation, as well as analyzing the safety profile of TBCB relative to TBFB.

#### Quality assessment

The quality assessment of the studies was conducted by two authors (SP Li and Y Luo) independently, with any discrepancies resolved through consensus discussions. The methodological quality of the observational studies included in the analysis was assessed utilizing the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale,<sup>21</sup> which considers three key components: selection of patient, comparability of study groups, and assessment of exposure. Each study was evaluated and given a numerical score on a scale of 0–9, with a score of 6 or higher denoting high quality and a score below 6 denoting low quality. The quality of the randomized controlled trials included in the analysis was evaluated using the Jadad scale,<sup>22</sup> which comprises three components: randomization (0–2 points), blinding (0–2 points), and withdrawals (0–1 points). Studies with a score equal to or greater than 3 was categorized as high quality, while a score below 3 was considered low quality.

### Definitions

The diagnostic criteria<sup>23</sup> for acute and chronic cellular rejection were applied according to the revised guidelines for pulmonary rejection published in 1996. Bleeding grading<sup>24</sup> was categorized as severe if bronchial blocking or embolization was necessary, moderate if bleeding ceased with epinephrine or cold saline treatment, and mild if it could be stopped spontaneously or with continuous airway suction. To aid in assessing clinically significant bleeding events, moderate and severe cases were pooled for analysis.

#### Statistical analysis

The meta-analysis and statistical analysis in this study utilized Cochrane RevMan 5.4 software and Stata 15 software. The diagnostic positive rate of each study was aggregated using the inverse variance weighting method, and the odds ratio (OR) was subsequently calculated. Heterogeneity among the studies was assessed using the Cochran Q test and  $I^2$  statistic. A fixed-effects model was employed when statistical heterogeneity was low ( $I^2 < 50\%$ , p > 0.10), while a random-effects model was utilized otherwise. Publication bias was evaluated using Egger's test to assess funnel plot asymmetry, with a significance level set at 0.05.

#### G Model ARBRES 3601 1–7

#### Y. Luo and S.-p. Li

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**



Fig. 1. Flowchart of study selection.

#### 162 Results

#### 163 Search results

164 The methodology employed for study selection is depicted in Fig. 1. A comprehensive search of PubMed, EMBASE, Web of Science, 165 and Scopus yielded 187 distinct titles and abstracts, from which 166 duplicates were eliminated, resulting in 102 studies for prelimi-167 nary review. Subsequently, the title, abstract, or full text of each 168 of the 102 studies underwent detailed scrutiny in accordance with 169 predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Contact with the 170 corresponding author was considered as a means to procure any 171 necessary data. Fifty-three studies were excluded from this meta-172 analysis due to lack of alignment with the research focus. Three 173 studies were excluded as they were case reports, while eighteen 174 studies were excluded due to insufficient data. Furthermore, sev-175 enteen studies were excluded for being solely review or comment 176 articles. 177

#### 178 Study characteristics and qualities

In the systematic review we conducted, 11 studies<sup>13–18,25–29</sup> 179 were incorporated, including 7 full-text articles and 4 conference 180 abstracts. This ensemble consists of 10 cohort studies and 1 ran-181 domized controlled trial. Within the cohort study, the distribution 182 is balanced, with 5 prospective and the remaining 5 retrospec-183 tive. A cumulative total of 915 patients were encompassed within 184 the 11 studies analyzed (sample size range: 4 to 402). This cohort 185 included 834 patients reported in seven full-text publications and 186 81 patients from four conference abstracts, as detailed in Table 1. 187 In this systematic review, the included studies primarily feature 188 work from authors based in Europe and North America. Every study 189 encompassed in this systematic review compared the effective-190 ness of monitoring with TBCB versus TBFB in post-lung transplant 191 192 patients. Age data was captured in nine of these studies, with the 193 reported mean or range spanning from 20 to 65.5 years. Eight studies provided gender distribution, indicating a male predominance with percentages varying between 44% and 75%.

The methodologies and tools used in the studies are detailed in Table 2. Of the studies included, seven recorded the size of the cryoprobes used, with dimensions being 1.7 mm, 1.9 mm, and 2.4 mm. Additionally, seven studies noted the freezing time of the cryoprobes, which ranged from 3 to 7 s. Eight studies provided data on the number of TBCB and TBFB conducted, with TBCB ranging from 2 to 6 times and TBFB ranging from 2 to 10 times. Out of the 11 studies analyzed, 9 studies indicated that TBCB yielded a greater specimen volume compared to TBFB. Different studies used different metrics to measure size. Additionally, 4 of these studies found that TBCB specimens, which dever artifacts than TBFB specimens.

The quality assessment of the seven full-text studies included in our meta-analysis is summarized in Supplementary table 1, showing varied levels of quality. Of the six observational studies, five were rated as high quality and one as low quality. The included prospective randomized controlled trial was also evaluated and found to be of high quality. Due to limited information, the quality of the four conference abstracts could not be determined.

#### Diagnostic value of TBCB and TBFB

The meta-analysis, encompassing 11 studies, revealed that the diagnostic rate of TBCB for ACR following lung transplantation was 38.27% (225/588). Conversely, the diagnostic rate for TBFB stood at 35.65% (251/704). The analysis yielded an inverse-variance weighted odds ratio of 2.32 (95% confidence interval: 1.24–4.32; p = 0.008), with a heterogeneity index of 66%. Fig. 2 depicts these findings in a forest plot. Additionally, the funnel plot presented in Fig. 3 indicated an absence of significant publication bias (Egger's test, p = 0.09).

Furthermore, the meta-analysis of six studies indicated that the diagnostic rate of TBCB for chronic rejection after lung transplantation was 25.00% (68/272), compared to 10.93% (46/421) for TBFB. The variance inverse-weighted odds ratio was determined to be 224

225

226

227

194

195

RTICLE IN

PRE

Y. Luo and S.-p. Li

#### Table 1 Characteristic features of included studies in the present meta-analysis.

Author/year	Country	Manuscript/abstract	Study design	Cases (patients)	Age (mean $\pm$ SD or range)	Gender (male/female)	Inclusion criteria
Akulian J 2012 <sup>27</sup>	America	Abstract	Prospective observational study	10	57	5/5	Patients who received a transplant
Daffrè E 2021 <sup>25</sup>	Italy	Abstract	Prospective observational study	54	Unknown	Unknown	Adults undergo transbronchial
							biopsy at 3, 6, and 12 months post
							lung transplant
Fruchter O 2013 <sup>19</sup>	Israel	Manuscript	Retrospective observational study	40	42-64	Unknown	Patients who received a transplant
Gershman E 2018 <sup>24</sup>	Israel	Manuscript	Retrospective observational study	402	$53.6 \pm 13.1$	242/160	Patients who received lung
							transplants were biopsied using
Loor V 202229	Spain	Manuscript	Pandomized controlled trial	80	41 62	47/47	Cryoprobe of forceps
LUUI K 2025	Span	Manuscript	Kandonnized controlled that	09	41-02	47/42	FOI TUING LIAINSPIAIL PALIETICS WITH
							mechanical ventilation
Mohamed S 2020 <sup>28</sup>	Italy	Manuscript	Retrospective observational study	164	Unknown	Unknown	Adults undergo transbronchial
	italy	manaberipe	netrospective observational study	101	Children in the second s	omaionn	biopsy at 3, 6, and 12 months post
							lung transplant
Montero MA 2018 <sup>22</sup>	Spain	Manuscript	Prospective observational study	58	20-65	35/23	For lung transplant patients with
							suspected ACR
Roden AC 2015 <sup>20</sup>	America	Abstract	Retrospective observational study	13	61.0 (25.2–65.5)	8/5	Patients who received a transplant
Roden AC 2016 <sup>23</sup>	America	Manuscript	Retrospective observational study	18	48.4 (25.2–64.8)	11/7	Patients who received a transplant
Steinack C 2022 <sup>21</sup>	Switzerland	Manuscript	Prospective observational study	63	$56.4 \pm 8.83$	28/35	Adults undergo transbronchial
							biopsy at 1, 2, 4, 6 and 12 months
V	<b>A</b>	A1			52 + 12	2/1	post lung transplant
Yarmus L 2012 <sup>20</sup>	America	Abstract	Prospective observational study	4	53±12	3/1	Patients who received a transplant
Abbreviations: TBCB, tran	sbronchial lung c	ryobiopsy; TBFB, transbro	nchial forceps biopsy.				
Table D							
The methods and materia	als of included stu	idies in the present meta-	analysis				
The methods and materia	iis or merudeu ste	laies in the present meta-	anary 515.				
Author/year	Cryoprobe si	ze Freezing time	Number of TBCB Number of	of TBFB Specime	en size of TBCB Specim	en size of TBFB	Artifacts of TBCB Artifacts of TBFB

Author/year	Cryoprobe size	Freezing time	Number of TBCB	Number of TBFB	Specimen size of TBCB	Specimen size of TBFB	Artifacts of TBCB	Artifacts of TBFB
Akulian J 2012 <sup>27</sup>	Unknown	Unknown	5	10	$57.9 \pm 11.3  mm^2$	$12.9 \pm 4.8 \text{ mm}^2$	Unknown	Unknown
Daffrè E 2021 <sup>25</sup>	Unknown	Unknown	6	3	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Fruchter O 2013 <sup>19</sup>	2.4 mm	4 s	2-3	6-8	10 (5-20.1) mm <sup>2</sup>	2(0.5-4) mm <sup>2</sup>	Unknown	Unknown
Gershman E 2018 <sup>24</sup>	2.4 mm	4 s	2-3	4-6	16.6 mm <sup>2</sup>	6.6 mm <sup>2</sup>	0	11
Loor K 2023 <sup>29</sup>	1.9/2.4 mm	3 s	Unknown	Unknown	$3.45\pm1.2$ mm	$2.23\pm1.12mm$	4	13
Mohamed S 2020 <sup>28</sup>	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Montero MA 2018 <sup>22</sup>	2.4 mm	3 s	Unknown	Unknown	$22.1 \pm 12.5  mm^2$	$8.5\pm6.5mm^2$	0	9
Roden AC 2015 <sup>20</sup>	1.9/2.4 mm	Unknown	1.3 (on average)	1.3 (on average)	0.456 (0.256-3.071) cm <sup>3</sup>	0.096 (0.035-0.472) cm <sup>3</sup>	Unknown	Unknown
Roden AC 2016 <sup>23</sup>	1.9/2.4 mm	3–5 s	3	2	0.50(0.06-3.07) cm <sup>3</sup>	0.13(0.02-0.64) cm <sup>3</sup>	8	26
Steinack C 2022 <sup>21</sup>	1.7/2.4 mm	4–7 s	2	5	$10.1 \pm 7.1 \text{ mm}$	$2.3\pm1.8mm$	Unknown	Unknown
Yarmus L 2012 <sup>26</sup>	Unknown	3–5 s	5	10	31.3(16-60) mm <sup>2</sup>	9.7(0.15-25) mm <sup>2</sup>	Unknown	Unknown

Abbreviations: TBCB, transbronchial lung cryobiopsy; TBFB, transbronchial forceps biopsy.

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

Y. Luo and S.-p. Li

Archivos de Bronconeumología xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx



Fig. 2. Forest plot depicting the comparative diagnostic yield of TBCB versus TBFB in detecting ACR post-lung transplantation.



Fig. 3. Funnel plot assessing the consistency of diagnostic yield outcomes between TBCB and TBFB for ACR after lung transplantation. *Abbreviations*: TBCB, transbronchial lung cryobiopsy; TBFB, transbronchial forceps biopsy.

5

3.18 (95% confidence interval: 1.65–6.13), with a heterogeneity index ( $l^2$ ) of 0% (p = 0.005). These results are visually represented in Supplementary Fig. 1 through forest plots. Additionally, the funnel plot in Supplementary Fig. 2 did not indicate any significant publication bias (Egger's test, p = 0.546).

#### 233 Complications

Significant bleeding and pneumothorax are common com-234 plications in patients monitored with TBCB following lung 235 transplantation. The meta-analysis of ten studies revealed the inci-236 dence of moderate to severe hemorrhage to be 5.99% (32/534) in 237 the TBCB group and 6.31% (41/650) in the TBFB group, showing no 238 statistically significant difference (p = 0.98) (Supplementary Fig. 3). 239 Additionally, the analysis of eight studies demonstrated that the 240 incidence of pneumothorax was 3.90% (18/461) in the TBCB group 241 versus 3.29% (19/577) in the TBFB group, again with no significant 242 difference (p = 0.75) (Supplementary Fig. 4). 243

#### Discussion

Lung transplantation is a critical therapeutic intervention for patients with end-stage lung diseases, aimed at extending survival and improving quality of life.<sup>30</sup> In contemporary medical practice, this procedure is primarily indicated for conditions like interstitial lung disease and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. A notable hurdle faced by postoperative recipients is ACR, with approximately 27% of recipients experiencing rejection within the initial year following transplantation.<sup>31</sup> The association of ACR with chronic rejection and its potential to adversely affect prognosis highlights the importance of its timely and accurate detection, as any delays in diagnosis could compromise graft function.<sup>32</sup> Therefore, the implementation of effective detection methods for ACR is essential in refining treatment strategies and enhancing patient outcomes.

The diagnosis of lung transplant rejection is primarily dependent on microbiological and pathological data obtained through bronchoscopy.<sup>33</sup> Given the limitations of TBFB and BAL, TBCB is 246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

#### Y. Luo and S.-p. Li

262

#### Archivos de Bronconeumología xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

tistical power and generalizability of our findings, suggesting a

cautious interpretation of the results. Additionally, as most of the

included studies are observational, there could be selection bias

and confounding factors influencing the outcomes. Furthermore,

since all the studies were conducted at single centers, the lack of

standardization and multicenter data may pose challenges to the

reliability of our meta-analysis results. Therefore, we advocate for

the initiation of multicenter studies and the establishment of stan-

dardized protocols to more robustly validate the efficacy of TBCB

In conclusion, our analysis of the limited available studies sug-

gests that TBCB enhances the diagnostic rate of ACR and chronic

rejection following lung transplantation when compared to TBFB.

Moreover, there appears to be no significant difference in the inci-

dence of complications between TBCB and TBFB. However, further

research and the development of standardized procedures are war-

in post-lung transplantation applications.

338

339 340

346

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

365

366

367

368

369

370

372

transplantation monitoring. It is acclaimed for its innovative, safe, 263 and effective approach, enabling the collection of larger tissue 264 samples without compromising morphological integrity, thereby 265 reducing the need for repeated procedures.<sup>34</sup> In recent years, TBCB 266 has gained increasing popularity among interventional pulmonolo-267 gists, marking significant progress in this field. However, despite 268 these advancements, there appears to be some differing opinions 260 regarding the efficacy and especially the safety of TBCB compared 270 to TBFB for post-lung transplantation monitoring. The incidence 271 rates of moderate to severe bleeding and pneumothorax associ-272 ated with TBCB and TBFB vary considerably across different studies. 273 Therefore, a systematic review and meta-analysis to provide a com-274 prehensive evaluation of the diagnostic efficacy and safety of TBCB 275 for ACR after lung transplantation could potentially be of signif-276 icant clinical value. A previous meta-analysis included only four 277 studies and did not specifically examine the role of TBCB in diag-278 nosing ACR, which suggests the necessity for a more thorough and 279 updated meta-analysis. 280

increasingly acknowledged as a valuable alternative for post-lung

In our systematic review and meta-analysis, we carefully eval-281 uated the potential effectiveness and safety of TBCB in comparison 282 283 to TBFB for monitoring patients after lung transplantation. The findings suggest that TBCB may be more effective in diagnos-284 ing ACR than TBFB. Additionally, for chronic rejection diagnosis 285 post-transplantation, TBCB appears to have a higher likelihood of 286 effectiveness compared to TBFB. The generally superior quality of 287 TBCB samples, characterized by their larger size and deeper extrac-288 tion, along with fewer artifacts, might facilitate earlier and more 280 accurate detection of rejection, potentially leading to better patient 200 outcomes following a transplant. In terms of safety, our gathered 291 data on complications following TBCB and TBFB procedures showed 292 no significant differences in terms of moderate to severe bleed-293 ing and pneumothorax events between the groups. Based on these 294 findings, TBCB might be considered a potentially safe and effective 295 alternative to TBFB for postoperative monitoring in lung transplant 296 patients. 297

The present study has gathered a thorough selection of rele-298 vant literature for quality evaluation and meta-analysis, with the 299 intention of delivering a more comprehensive and objective assess-300 ment of the diagnostic role of TBCB in ACR. To the best of our 301 understanding, our meta-analysis concerning TBCB for post-lung 302 transplant surveillance possibly represents the most substantial 303 sample size to date. Given the growing interdisciplinary interest in 304 cryo-technology within pulmonology and thoracic surgery, and the 305 current lack of multicenter randomized trial data on this subject, 306 our study is both timely and crucial for advancing our understand-307 ing of the role of TBCB in post-transplant lung tissue sampling. 308

It should be noted that although the data incorporated into the 309 meta-analysis suggest promising progress in the effectiveness and 310 safety of TBCB for detecting rejection after lung transplantation, fur-311 ther issues may need to be clarified before it is considered a routine 312 monitoring method for lung transplant patients. In clinical practice, 313 thorough preoperative examinations (including echocardiography, 314 coagulation function tests, blood routine tests, etc.) are advisable 315 to identify risk factors such as bleeding tendency and pulmonary 316 hypertension before performing TBCB under bronchoscopy.<sup>29,35</sup> 317 Additionally, enhancing formal training for bronchoscopists could 318 help reduce the incidence of adverse events, given that the diag-319 nostic accuracy and safety of TBCB appear to be closely related 320 to professional expertise and the standardization of technical 321 procedures.<sup>36</sup> It is also worth considering whether the current 322 research results from a few large centers are applicable to other 323 centers, especially those with fewer resources and less experience. 324

We should also recognize the limitations of this meta-analysis. 325 326 Firstly, the number of existing studies on this topic is somewhat limited, and the heterogeneity among them might affect the sta-327

ranted to ensure the safe and effective application of TBCB in 345 broader clinical practice. Statement of ethics 347 In this meta-analysis, the research process didn't involve new 348 human subject data since it is based on an aggregation and analysis 349

#### Funding

Conclusion

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

of existing published studies. As a result, ethics committee approval

#### Authors' contributions

was deemed unnecessary.

Yan Luo was tasked with the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data, as well as drafting the initial manuscript. Sheng-ping Li handled the development of the conceptual framework and the bibliographic review, and critically revised vital intellectual content. All co-authors were actively involved in revising and giving their final approval to the manuscript.

#### **Conflict of interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Data availability

All relevant data can be found within the articles included in this study and in the supplementary materials.

#### Artificial intelligence involvement

This study was conducted without the aid of any artificial intelligence software or tools.

#### Acknowledgment

### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in 373 the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.arbres.2024.06.006. 374

#### G Model ARBRES 3601 1-7

Y. Luo and S.-p. Li

#### 375 References

- 1. Trulock EP, Ettinger NA, Brunt EM, Pasque MK, Kaiser LR, Cooper JD. The role of transbronchial lung biopsy in the treatment of lung transplant recipients. An analysis of 200 consecutive procedures. Chest. 1992;102(4):1049-54, http://dx.doi.org/10.1378/chest.102.4.1049.
- 2. Bergantini L, d'Alessandro M, De Vita E, Perillo F, Fossi A, Luzzi L, et al. Regulatory and effector cell disequilibrium in patients with acute cellular rejection and chronic lung allograft dysfunction after lung transplantation: comparison of peripheral and alveolar distribution. Cells. 2021;10(4):780, http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/cells10040780.
- 3. Weigt SS, Wang X, Palchevskiy V, Brown J, Elashoff D, Saggar R, et al. Usefulness of gene expression profiling of bronchoalveolar lavage cells in acute lung allograft rejection. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2019;38(8):845-55, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.healun.2019.05.001.
- 4. Arcasoy SM, Berry G, Marboe CC, Brunstetter A, Rastogi P, Love G, et al. Pathologic interpretation of transbronchial biopsy for acute rejection of lung allograft is highly variable. Am J Transplant. 2011;11(2):320-8, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-6143.2010.03382.x.
- 5. Burns KE, Johnson BA, Iacono AT. Diagnostic properties of transin lung transplant recipients who bronchial biopsy require mechanical ventilation. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2003;22(3):267-75, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s1053-2498(02)00563-6.
- 6. Levy L, Huszti E, Ahmed M, Ghany R, Hunter S, Moshkelgosha S, et al. Bronchoalveolar lavage cytokine-based risk stratification of minimal acute rejection in clinically stable lung transplant recipients. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2021;40(12):1540-9, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. healun.2021.05.017.
- 7. DeMaio A, Thiboutot J, Yarmus L. Applications of cryobiopsy in airway, pleural, and parenchymal disease. Expert Rev Respir Med. 2022;16(8):875-86, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17476348.2022.2122444.
- 8. Fan Y, Zhang AM, Wu XL, Huang ZS, Kontogianni K, Sun K, et al. Transbronchial needle aspiration combined with cryobiopsy in the diagnosis of mediastinal diseases: a multicenter, open-label, randomised trial. Lancet Respir Med. 2023;11(3):256-64, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(22)00392-
- 9. Ravaglia C, Sultani F, Piciucchi S, Dubini A, De Grauw AJ, Martinello S, et al. Diagnostic yield and safety of transbronchial lung cryobiopsy for diffuse parenchymal lung diseases diagnosis: comparison between 1.7-mm and 1.9-mm probes. Pulmonology. 2023, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2023.04.003. Published online May 18.
- 10. Ravaglia C, Doglioni C, Chilosi M, Piciucchi S, Dubini A, Rossi G, et al. Clinical, radiological and pathological findings in patients with persistent lung disease following SARS-CoV-2 infection. Eur Respir J. 2022;60(4):2102411, http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/13993003.02411-2021.
- 11. Yao Y, Chen X, Chen H, Xiao Z, Li S. Safety and efficacy of cryobiopsy for the diagnosis of lymphangioleiomyomatosis compared with forceps biopsy and surgical lung biopsy. BMC Pulm Med. 2023;23(1):510, http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12890-023-02810-z.
- 12. Freund O, Wand O, Schneer S, Barel N, Shalmon T, Borsekofsky S, et al. Transbronchial cryobiopsy is superior to forceps biopsy for diagnosing both fibrotic and non-fibrotic interstitial lung diseases. Respiration. 2023;102(9):852-60, http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000533197.
- 13. Steinack C, Gaspert A, Gautschi F, Hage R, Vrugt B, Soltermann A, et al. Transbronchial cryobiopsy compared to forceps biopsy for diagnosis of acute cellular rejection in lung transplants: analysis of 63 consecutive procedures. Life (Basel). 2022:12(6):898. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/life12060898.
- 14. Mohamed S, Mendogni P, Tosi D, Carrinola R, Palleschi A, Righi I, et al. Transbronchial cryobiopsies in lung allograft recipients for surveil-430 lance purposes: initial results. Transplant Proc. 2020;52(5):1601-4, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2020.02.052.
- 15. Fruchter O, Fridel L, Rosengarten D, Raviv Y, Rosanov V, Kramer MR. Trans-433 bronchial cryo-biopsy in lung transplantation patients: first report. Respirology. 434 2013:18(4):669-73, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/resp.12037 435
- 16. Montero MA, de Gracia J, Culebras Amigo M, Mugnier J, Álvarez A, Berastegui C, 436 et al. The role of transbronchial cryobiopsy in lung transplantation. Histopathol-437 ogy. 2018;73(4):593-600, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/his.13656. 438
- 17. Roden AC, Kern RM, Aubry MC, Jenkins SM, Yi ES, Scott JP, et al. 439 Transbronchial cryobiopsies in the evaluation of lung allografts: do the benefits outweigh the risks? Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2016;140(4):303–11, 440 441 http://dx.doi.org/10.5858/arpa.2015-0294-0A.

- 18. Gershman E, Ridman E, Fridel L, Shtraichman O, Pertzov B, Rosengarten D, et al. Efficacy and safety of trans-bronchial cryo in comparison with forceps biopsy in lung allograft recipients: analysis of 402 procedures. Clin Transplant. 2018;32(4):e13221, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ctr.13221.
- 19. Ali M, Sethi J, Ramalingam V, Mohammed A. Role of transbronchial cryobiopsies for evaluating lung allografts: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Chest 154(4): 878A. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2018.08.791.
- 20 McInnes MDF, Moher D, Thombs BD, McGrath TA, Bossuyt PM, the PRISMA-DTA Group, et al. Preferred reporting items for a systematic review and metaanalysis of diagnostic test accuracy studies: the PRISMA-DTA statement. JAMA. 2018;319(4):388-96, http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.19163.
- 21. Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Eur J Epidemiol. 2010;25(9):603-5, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10654-010-9491-
- 22. Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, Jenkinson C, Reynolds DJ, Gavaghan DJ, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? Control Clin Trials. 1996;17(1):1-12, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0197-2456(95)00134-4.
- 23. Stewart S, Fishbein MC, Snell GI, Berry GJ, Boehler A, Burke MM, et al. Revision of the 1996 working formulation for the standardization of nomenclature in the diagnosis of lung rejection. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2007;26(12):1229-42, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.healun.2007.10.017.
- 24. Folch EE, Mahajan AK, Oberg CL, Maldonado F, Toloza E, Krimsky WS, et al. Standardized definitions of bleeding after transbronchial lung biopsy: a Delphi consensus statement from the Nashville Working Group. Chest. 2020;158(1):393-400, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2020.01.036.
- 25. Roden AC, Kern RM, Aubry MC, Jenkins SM, Scott JP, Maldonado F. Comparison of transbronchial cryobiopsies with conventional forceps biopsies in evaluation of lung allografts. J Heart Lung Transpl. 2015;34(4):S106, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.healun.2015.01.283.
- 26. Daffrè E, Tosi D, Carrinola R, Righi I, Damarco F, Mendogni P, et al. Prospective study of comparison between transbronchial forceps biopsy and cryoprobe in the diagnosis of acute rejection after lung transplantation. J Heart Lung Transpl. 2021;40(4):S384, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.healun.2021.01.1080.
- 27. Yarmus L, Akulian J, Gilbert C, Orens J, Merlo C, Feller-Kopman D. Cryoprobe versus forceps biopsy for post-lung transplant surveillance. J Heart Lung Transpl. 2012;31(4):\$178, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.healun.2012.01.522.
- 28. Akulian J, Gilbert C, Orens J, Merlo C, Feller-Kopman D, Yarmus L. A comparison of forceps versus cryoprobe biopsy in lung transplant surveillance. Chest. 2012;142(4):903A, http://dx.doi.org/10.1378/chest.1388487. Loor K, Culebras M, Sansano I, Álvarez A, Sacanell J, García-de-Acilu
- 29. M, et al. Lung allograft transbronchial cryobiopsy for critical venti-lated patients: a randomised trial. Eur Respir J. 2023;61(1):2102354, http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/13993003.02354-2021.
- Arcasoy SM, Kotloff RM. Lung transplantation. N Engl 30. Med I 1999;340(14):1081-91, http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199904083401406.
- 31. Ju C, Xu X, Zhang J, Chen A, Lian Q, Liu F, et al. Application of plasma donor-derived cell free DNA for lung allograft rejection diagnosis in lung transplant recipients. BMC Pulm Med. 2023;23(1):37, http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12890-022-02229-y.
- 32. Shino MY, Weigt SS, Li N, Derhovanessian A, Savah DM, Huvnh RH, et al. Impact of allograft injury time of onset on the development of chronic lung allograft dysfunction after lung transplantation. Am J Transplant. 2017;17(5):1294-303, http://dx doi org/10 1111/ait 14066
- 33. Benzimra M. Surveillance bronchoscopy: is it still relevant? Semin Respir Crit Care Med. 2018;39(2):219–26, http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0037-1615800. Thiboutot J, Illei PB, Maldonado F, Kapp CM, DeMaio A, Lee HJ, et al.
- 34 Safety and feasibility of a sheath cryoprobe for bronchoscopic trans-bronchial biopsy: the frostbite trial. Respiration. 2022;101(12):1131–8, http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000526876.
- 35. Loor K, Culebras M, Sansano I, Álvarez A, Berastegui C, de Gracia J. Optimization of transbronchial cryobiopsy in lung transplant recipients. Ann Thorac Surg. 2019;108(4):1052-8, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.athoracsur.2019.04.096.
- 36. Keating DT, Taverner J. Transbronchial cryobiopsy in lung transplantation: risk, reward and relevance. Eur Respir J. 2023;61(1):2201942, http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01942-2022.

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

442

443

444

376

385

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

431

432