

## LENT Score: Predicting the Survival of Malignant Pleural Effusion – A Prospective Study of Three Years



### Score LENT: prediciendo la supervivencia del derrame pleural maligno – un estudio prospectivo de tres años

Dear Editor:

Malignant pleural effusion (MPE) is common in clinical practice, affecting about 15% of patients with cancer in the course of their illness, typically indicating advanced neoplastic disease and a poor prognosis.<sup>1</sup> It's paramount to stratify these patients and accurately predict survival, to allow individualized appropriated treatment. Several variables have been tested for the prediction of survival of MPE, namely pleural fluid pH,<sup>2</sup> glucose,<sup>3</sup> LDH<sup>3–5</sup> and protein,<sup>4,6,7</sup> serum neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR)<sup>6,7</sup> serum albumin,<sup>8</sup> histology of primary tumour,<sup>2,4,6,7</sup> Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (ECOG PS)<sup>6,7,9</sup> and Karnofsky index (KI),<sup>3,10</sup> among others, with variable performances in prediction of survival across studies.

In 2014, Clive et al.<sup>11</sup> created and validated the first score to predict the survival of MPE patients, the LENT score, which is calculated based on pleural fluid LDH (L), ECOG PS (E), serum NLR (N) and tumour type (T). Each of the prognostic indicators has a numeric value associated. Based on the calculated score, patients are stratified in risk groups: low (score 0–1), moderate (score 2–4) and high-risk (score 5–7), with median survival of 319, 130 and 44 days respectively, performing better than ECOG PS alone in the prediction of survival.

In 2018, Abisheganaden<sup>12</sup> showed that LENT score underestimated survival in EGFR mutated lung adenocarcinoma (LA) patients and probably would need some adjustment in this subgroup.

We aimed at evaluating the performance of LENT score in predicting MPE survival in clinical practice and specifically in patients with MPE secondary to EGFR mutated LA.

We prospectively selected adult patients with a new and first diagnosis of MPE from January 2016 to December 2018 followed at our institution, regardless if the neoplasm was previously diagnosed or diagnosed simultaneously with MPE. Every pleural fluid sample sent for histopathologic examination was signalized. If the presence of malignant cells was confirmed the patient would be included in the study.

Besides LENT's variables, the following data was collected through consultation of medical records: sex, age at diagnosis of MPE, KI, timing of diagnosis of primary tumour, pleural effusion size and laterality, MPE time of diagnosis, pleural fluid differential cell count, pH, glucose, protein, treatment performed and time of death.

We used Kaplan–Meier with log-rank method to estimate survival in each group and to search for factors with impact in this outcome. All reported p values are two-tailed, with a p value of 0.05 indicating statistical significance. Statistical analysis was performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 25.

We included 69 patients with a slight predominance of females (n = 36; 52.2%) and a mean age of 70.9 ± 12.8 years. Mean KI was 64.26 ± 26.50% and mean ECOG PS was 1.84 ± 1.31.

MPE was the first manifestation of malignancy in 73.9% of patients (n = 51). Seventeen patients (24.6%) already had a previous diagnosis of cancer. In one patient it wasn't possible to establish if MPE was secondary to a previous neoplasm or the newly diagnosed one.

The most frequent primary neoplasm observed was lung cancer (n = 41; 59.4%), with LA accounting for the majority of cases (n = 38; 92.7%), followed by hematologic malignancies (n = 10; 14.5%), gas-

tric cancer (n = 4; 5.8%), breast cancer (n = 3; 4.3%) and pleural mesothelioma (n = 3; 4.3%).

All the pleural effusions were exudative and most showed a predominance of lymphocytes (n = 58; 84.1%). Median pleural fluid LDH was 641.6 IQR (Interquartile Range) 366 U/L, median protein was 42 IQR 11 g/L and mean pH was 7.34 ± 0.1.

Thirty-two patients (46.4%) showed a large effusion (occupation of more than 75% of the hemithorax) and there was a slight predominance of right-sided effusions (n = 38; 55.1%).

In the majority of cases the diagnosis of MPE was made through cytology of pleural fluid (n = 49; 71.0%), followed by pleural biopsy (n = 15; 21.7%), medical thoracoscopy (n = 4; 5.8%) and percutaneous transthoracic biopsy (n = 1; 1.4%).

Most patients underwent treatment for MPE (n = 62; 89.9%), 68.1% (n = 47) with placement of chest tube, with 16 of these (23.2%) submitted to pleurodesis, and 21.7% (n = 15) submitted to aspiration thoracentesis.

LENT score appropriately stratified patients according to their life expectancy (Table 1), with 75% of patients alive at 6 months in the low-risk group, 60.9% in the moderate-risk group and 5.3% in the high-risk group.

Concerning EGFR status: 8 of the 38 patients with LA carried the mutation, all of them classified as LENT moderate-risk. Nonetheless, they showed significantly longer survival (265 days CI [0–558] VS median not reached – mean of 506 days CI [343–668]; p = 0.04) than patients with the same tumour and risk group without EGFR mutation.

We also found that patients with serum albumin of 3.5 g/dl or lower and those with lower ECOG PS and higher KI had worse survival (Table 1).

In summary, MPE has a dismal prognosis and life expectancy should guide therapy, so that patients are offered treatment options that maximize the control of symptoms and quality of life in their last weeks or months.

Several variables influenced survival. Firstly the performance scores, ECOG PS and KI, matching other studies,<sup>3,6,9</sup> an aspect already evaluated in LENT. Secondly, serum albumin, probably a surrogate for nutritional status, as it was also demonstrated in other publications<sup>8</sup> and probably important to take into consideration when evaluating these patients, as it's not included in LENT.

**Table 1**

Global survival of MPE and survival according to LENT groups, ECOG PS, KI and serum albumin.<sup>a</sup>

Variables	n	Median survival (days)	p
Global median survival	69	81 CI [28–134]	–
<i>LENT risk groups</i>			<0.001
Low risk	4	NR*	
Moderate risk	46	161 CI [51–271]	
High risk	19	30 CI [17–43]	
<i>ECOG PS</i>			<0.001
0–2	46	173 CI [0–369]	
3–4	22	36 CI [28–44]	
<i>KI</i>			<0.001
<30%	8	36 CI [0–82]	
40–50%	12	39 CI [32–46]	
60–70%	15	62 CI [4–120]	
>80%	33	376 CI [223–529]	
<i>Serum albumin</i>			0.005
≤3.5 g/dl	35	43 CI [10–76]	
>3.5 g/dl	28	246 CI [0–246]	

<sup>a</sup> CI: confidence interval; ECOG PS: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status Score; KI: Karnofsky index; LENT: Lactate dehydrogenase, ECOG PS, Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio, Tumour type; MPE: Malignant pleural effusion; NR: Not reached.

\* Mean 720 CI [336–1104].

Finally, LENT is a valuable tool in this setting but needs to be used with caution in MPE patients with LA carrying EGFR mutations, as it seems to underestimate their survival, even though these patients seem to have worse outcomes under tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy compared with patients with the same diagnosis but without MPE.<sup>13,14</sup> Nevertheless, it seems clear they still have a better prognosis than LA patients with MPE with wild-type EGFR.

Although EGFR mutations appear only in a small subset of LA, this is frequently the most common malignancy causing MPE and, furthermore, there is data suggesting that the rate of EGFR mutation is higher in LA patients with MPE.<sup>15</sup> These data highlight the importance of seeking tools to accurately predict survival in these patients.

## Bibliografía

- Clive A, Bhatnagar R, Preston NJ, Jones HE, Maskell N. Interventions for the management of malignant pleural effusions. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;2013.
- Heffner JE, Nietert PJ, Barbieri C. Pleural fluid pH as a predictor of survival for patients with malignant pleural effusions. *Chest* [Internet]. 2000;117:79–86. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1378/chest.117.1.79>.
- Martínez-Moragón E, Aparicio J, Sanchis J, Menéndez R, Cruz Rogado M, Sanchis F. Malignant pleural effusion: prognostic factors for survival and response to chemical pleurodesis in a series of 120 cases. *Respiration*. 1998;65:108–13.
- Bielsa S, Salud A, Martínez M, Esquerda A, Martín A, Rodríguez-Panadero F, et al. Prognostic significance of pleural fluid data in patients with malignant effusion. *Eur J Intern Med* [Internet]. 2008 Jul;19:334–9. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18549935>
- Verma A, Phua CK, Sim WY, Algosio RE, Tee KS, Lew SJW, et al. Pleural LDH as a prognostic marker in adenocarcinoma lung with malignant pleural effusion. *Medicine (Baltimore)* [Internet]. 2016 Jun;95:e3996. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27368006>
- Anevlavis S, Kouliatis S, Sotiriou I, Koukourakis MI, Archontogeorgis K, Karpathiou G, et al. Prognostic factors in patients presenting with pleural effusion revealing malignancy. *Respiration*. 2014;87:311–6.
- Abrao FC, de Abreu IRLB, Fogarolli M, Caxeiro G, Bezerra CBS, de Cerqueira Cesar FP, et al. Prognostic factors of 30-day mortality after palliative procedures in patients with malignant pleural effusion. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2015;22:4083–8.
- Pilling JE, Dusmet ME, Ladas G, Goldstraw P. Prognostic factors for survival after surgical palliation of malignant pleural effusion. *J Thorac Oncol* [Internet]. 2010;5:1544–50. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20802350>
- Zamboni MM, da Silva CT, Baretta R, Cunha ET, Cardoso GP. Important prognostic factors for survival in patients with malignant pleural effusion. *BMC Pulm Med* [Internet]. 2015;15:1–7. Available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2466/15/29>
- Burrows CM, Mathews WC, Colt HG. Predicting survival in patients with recurrent symptomatic malignant pleural effusions: an assessment of the prognostic values of physiologic, morphologic, and quality of life measures of extent of disease. *Chest* [Internet]. 2000;117:73–8. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10631202>
- Clive AO, Kahan BC, Hooper CE, Bhatnagar R, Morley AJ, Zahan-Evans N, et al. Predicting survival in malignant pleural effusion: development and validation of the LENT prognostic score. *Thorax* [Internet]. 2014:1–7. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25100651>
- Abisheganaden J, Verma A, Dagaonkar RS, Light RW. An observational study evaluating the performance of LENT score in the selected population of malignant pleural effusion from lung adenocarcinoma in Singapore. *Respiration*. 2018;96.
- Wang TF, Chu SC, Lee JJ, Yang GG, Huang WH, Chang ET, et al. Presence of pleural effusion is associated with a poor prognosis in patients with epidermal growth factor receptor-mutated lung cancer receiving tyrosine kinase inhibitors as first-line treatment. *Asia Pac J Clin Oncol*. 2017;13:304–13.
- Yang J, Lee OJ, Son SM, Woo CG, Jeong Y, Yang Y, et al. EGFR mutation status in lung adenocarcinoma-associated malignant pleural effusion and efficacy of egfr tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *Cancer Res Treat*. 2018;50:908–16.
- Wu S-G, Gow C-H, Yu C-J, Chang Y-L, Yang C-H, Hsu Y-C, et al. Frequent epidermal growth factor receptor gene mutations in malignant pleural effusion of lung adenocarcinoma. *Eur Respir J* [Internet]. 2008;32:924–30. Available from: <http://erj.ersjournals.com/cgi/doi/10.1183/09031936.00167407>

Sara Raimundo<sup>a,\*</sup>, Ana Isabel Loureiro<sup>a</sup>, Fortunato Vieira<sup>b</sup>, Ana Fernandes<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Pulmonology Department, Centro Hospitalar de Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro, Vila Real, Portugal

<sup>b</sup> Histocit – Laboratório Anatomia Patológica, Trofa, Portugal

Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [sara.rraimundo@gmail.com](mailto:sara.rraimundo@gmail.com) (S. Raimundo).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2020.01.004>

0300-2896/ © 2020 SEPAR. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

## Rinoconjuntivitis y asma ocupacional por alergia a harina de trigo sarraceno



### Rhinoconjunctivitis and Occupational Asthma due to Buckwheat Flour Allergy

Estimado Director:

El alforfón o trigo sarraceno (*Fagopyrum esculentum*) es una planta herbácea de la familia *Polygonaceae*, originaria de Asia Central. Tiene un elevado valor nutricional, superando en proteínas y ácidos grasos a los cereales<sup>1,2</sup>. Se han descrito casos de alergia a trigo sarraceno mediada por IgE, tanto por inhalación de su harina como por su ingestión. La clínica incluye síntomas rinoconjuntivales, bronquiales, gastrointestinales, cutáneos e incluso anafilaxia<sup>3–5</sup>. Presentamos un caso de rinoconjuntivitis y asma ocupacional por alergia a harina de trigo sarraceno en un paciente panadero.

Se trata de un varón de 45 años de edad con antecedentes de rinitis alérgica por sensibilización a ácaros, panadero desde hace 26 años. Consulta por presentar, desde 8 meses antes y exclusivamente en el lugar de trabajo, episodios de tos, disnea, estornudos, rinorrea acuosa, congestión nasal y prurito ocular los días que su compañero amasa harina de trigo sarraceno, remitiendo la sintomatología en su domicilio.

A la exploración física el paciente presentaba obstrucción nasal bilateral y se encontraba en buen estado general, afebril y eup-

neico, con auscultación cardiopulmonar normal. Se inició el estudio alérgico con pruebas cutáneas (prick-test) con batería de aeroalérgenos y alimentos (extractos comerciales) incluyendo harina de trigo, cebada, centeno, avena, maíz, arroz, gliadina, frutos secos, leche, huevo y proteínas transportadoras de lípidos. Los resultados fueron positivos para ácaros del polvo y extracto de harina de trigo sarraceno al 5% P/V (9x7 mm). Además, se realizó prick by prick con extracto propio de trigo sarraceno, elaborado a partir de la harina aportada por el paciente, con resultado positivo (18x11 mm) (fig. 1). Posteriormente, se amplió el estudio con determinación de IgE mediante el método ImmunoCAP<sup>TM</sup> (extracto purificado) con los siguientes resultados: IgE total 187 KU/l, IgE específica para alforfón 15,4 KU/l, trigo y centeno <0,1 KU/l, *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus* 10,1 KU/l, *Lepidoglyphus destructor* 1,98 KU/l.

La radiografía de tórax no mostraba alteraciones patológicas. La espirometría era normal (capacidad vital forzada (FVC) 6060 ml (115%), volumen espiratorio forzado en el primer segundo (FEV1) 4820 ml (113,5%), FEV1/FVC 80,63%) con prueba broncodilatadora negativa, con un FeNO de 115 partes por billón. La prueba de provocación bronquial inespecífica con metacolina mientras el paciente continuaba trabajando fue positiva (0,022 mg).

Ante los hallazgos descritos, se decidió realizar una prueba de provocación bronquial específica (PPBE) estando de baja laboral. Inicialmente no presentó variabilidad tras exponerse a placebo. A los 4 min de la primera exposición al alérgeno en cabina a mezcla de lactosa y harina de alforfón, adquirida de forma externa, a baja concentración, mediante la técnica de baldeo por método