

19. Camhi SL, Alam J, Otterbein L, et al. Induction of heme oxygenase-1 gene expression by lipopolysaccharide is mediated by AP-1 activation. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 1995;13:387-98.
20. Liu HL, Zhao JY, Chen L. Changes of carbon monoxide, nitric oxide levels and heme oxygenase system in acute respiratory distress syndrome induced by oleic acid. Zhonghua Yu Fang Yi Xue Za Zhi. 2004;38:240-3.
21. Zegdi R, Fabre O, Lila N, et al. Exhaled carbon monoxide and inducible heme oxygenase expression in a rat model of postperfusion acute lung injury. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2003;126:1867-74.
22. Mumby S, Upton RL, Chen Y, et al. Lung heme oxygenase-1 is elevated in acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care Med. 2004;32:1130-5.
23. Suttner DM, Sridhar K, Lee CS, et al. Protective effects of transient HO-1 overexpression on susceptibility to oxygen toxicity in lung cells. Am J Physiol. 2000;1999;276:L443-L51.
24. Otterbein LE, Otterbein SL, Ifedigbo E, et al. MKK3 mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway mediates carbon monoxide-induced protection against oxidant-induced lung injury. Am J Pathol. 2003;163:2555-63.
25. Inoue S, Suzuki M, Nagashima Y, et al. Transfer of heme oxygenase 1 cDNA by a replication-deficient adenovirus enhances interleukin 10 production from alveolar macrophages that attenuates lipopolysaccharide-induced acute lung injury mice. Hum Gene Ther. 2001;12:967-79.
26. Otterbein L, Sylvester SL, Choi AM. Hemoglobin provides protection against lethal endotoxemia in rats: the role of heme oxygenase-1. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 1995;13:595-601.
27. Otterbein LE, Mantell LL, Choi AMK. Carbon monoxide provides protection against hyperoxic lung injury. Am J Physiol. 1999;276:L688-L94.
28. Sarady JK, Otterbein SL, Liu F, et al. Carbon monoxide modulates endotoxin-induced production of granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor in macrophages. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2002;27:739-45.
29. Taylor JL, Carraway MS, Piantadosi CA. Lung-specific induction of heme oxygenase-1 and hyperoxic lung injury. Am J Physiol. 1998;274:L582-L90.
30. Minamino T, Christou H, Hsieh CM, et al. Targeted expression of heme oxygenase-1 prevents the pulmonary inflammatory and vascular responses to hypoxia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98:8798-803.
31. Fujita T, Toda K, Karimova A, et al. Paradoxical rescue from ischemic lung injury by inhaled carbon monoxide driven by depression of fibrinolysis. Nat Med. 2001;7:598-604.
32. Denney PA, Visner G, Weng YH, et al. Resistance to hyperoxia with heme oxygenase-1 disruption: role of iron. Free Radiac Biol Med. 2003;34:124-33.
33. Clayton CE, Carraway MS, Suliman HB, et al. Inhaled carbon monoxide on acute lung injury in rats. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2001;281:L949-L57.
34. Ghosh S, Wilson MR, Choudhury S, et al. Effects of inhaled carbon monoxide on acute lung injury in mice. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2005;288:L1003-L9.
35. Jin Y, Choi AMK. Cytoprotection of heme oxygenase-1/carbon monoxide in lung injury. Proc Am Thorac Soc. 2005;2:232-5.
36. Prabhakar NR. Endogenous carbon monoxide in control of respiration. Respiratory Physiology. 1998;114:57-64.
37. Paro FM, Steiner AA, De Paula PM, et al. Central heme oxygenase-carbon monoxide pathway in the control of breathing under normoxia and hypoxia. Respir Physiol Neurobiol. 2002;130:151-60.
38. Casan P, Miralda RM, Sanchis J. Concentración de carboxihemoglobina (COHb) en una población urbana de pacientes no fumadores. Arch Bronconeumol. 1994;30:517-8.

## FE DE ERRORES

En el número de agosto de esta revista, en el artículo “Efecto del sulfato de magnesio intravenoso en la exacerbación de la EPOC que precisa hospitalización: estudio aleatorizado controlado por placebo” (Arch Bronconeumol. 2006;42:384-7), de Juan Abreu González et al, se han detectado algunos errores:

- En el Resumen, apartado Resultados, línea 8, donde dice “... FEV<sub>1</sub> SM/placebo: 0,185 ± 0,42...”, debe decir “... FEV<sub>1</sub> SM/placebo: 0,18 ± 0,42...”. En el abstract, donde dice “...FEV<sub>1</sub> was 0.185 (0.42) L...”, debe decir “FEV<sub>1</sub> was 0.18 (0.42) L...”.
- La misma corrección en español debe realizarse en la página 386, columna derecha, línea 4.
- La tabla 1 incluye algunas cifras incorrectas, por lo que la reproducimos entera con los datos correctos.

**TABLA I**  
**Valores del volumen espiratorio forzado en el primer segundo (FEV<sub>1</sub>) de los pacientes que recibieron sulfato de magnesio (SM) y placebo**

	FEV <sub>1</sub>		p
	Día 1	Día 2	
SM	0,86 ± 0,11 l (32,5 ± 3,2%)	0,86 ± 0,86 l (30,3 ± 3,2%)	0,9
Placebo	0,85 ± 0,92 l (28 ± 3,0%)	0,87 ± 0,11 l (30,7 ± 3,3%)	0,8
p	0,4	0,6	

-En la tabla 2, en la columna correspondiente a “Tras salbutamol”, en la segunda línea, donde dice “0,18 ± 0,41”, debe decir “0,18 ± 0,42”.