



Scientific Letter

What to Do When Endobronchial Volume Reduction Treatment Fails



To the Director,

Severe pulmonary emphysema appears in advanced phases of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). It is defined as the abnormal and permanent distention of the air spaces distal to the terminal bronchioles and entails a loss of pulmonary elasticity and early closure of the respiratory tract during expiration. All this causes dynamic pulmonary hyperinflation with the consequent air trapping. Despite the optimization of the medical treatment, these patients present a deterioration in lung function and an increase in dyspnoea, so it is necessary to look for therapeutic alternatives such as endoscopic volume reduction (ELVR). In GOLD¹ and GesEPOC² included that after a good selection of patients^{3–5} (severe emphysema predominant of the upper lobes and lower exercise capacity) the ELVR has demonstrated an improvement in lung function, quality of life and in survival.^{6–12} This is due to, after the volume reduction, lung volume decreases, which leads to a decrease in static pulmonary hyperinflation and consequently, dynamically, improving lung mechanics and decrease in dyspnoea.¹³ Despite the improvement in most patients, there is a percentage, which after the treatment, does not present an increase in the quality of life and lung function is similar. In these cases, there is uncertainty in the therapeutic approach. Until now, ELVR options described have been the application of various sessions of thermal vapour¹⁴ or even the placement of valves in contralateral bronchi.¹⁵ Next, we will describe two cases where we apply one of the possible alternatives which would be the placement of valves and the application of thermal vapour in the same patient.

The first case was a woman with severe emphysema and grade III dyspnoea on the mMRC scale with the functional tests described in Table 1. The result of the quantitative study using the specific soft-

ware StratX® (PulmonX Inc., Redwood City, CA, USA) was that the right upper lobe had a destruction of 73% of the lung parenchyma (<910 Hounsfield unit) and a volume of 1292 ml, so we decided to treat this lobe. As the major fissure was incomplete, the CHARTIS® system (PulmonX Inc., Redwood City, CA, USA) was performed, which showed its integrity. Two endobronchial valves were placed in segments 2 and 3 of the right upper lobe (segment 1 was absent). Functional testing showed an 11.5% improvement in FEV1 and a reduction in residual volume (RV) and total lung capacity (TLC) of 15.6% (630 ml) and 7.8% (470 ml), respectively. After a year, the patient presented clinical deterioration, so, after being rejected for transplant, we decided to apply thermal vapour treatment on the anterior segment of the left upper lobe (8.5 cal/g). After one month, there was a decrease in dyspnoea (Dyspnoea II mMRC) and improvement in air trapping with a decrease in TLC by 0.5% (30 ml) and RV by 22% (750 ml).

The second case was a man with severe emphysema and grade III dyspnoea on the mMRC scale with the functional tests described in Table 1. According to the quantitative study, we wanted to treat the right upper lobe and the middle lobe (76% density < –910 Hounsfield unit and volume 3111 ml) but after verifying the presence of collateral ventilation in the CHARTIS® due to the presence of an incomplete major fissure, we decided to treat the left upper lobe (79% density < –910 Hounsfield unit and volume 2253 ml). Four valves were placed in segments 1+2, 3, 4 and 5 of the left upper lobe. After 14 days the patient present clinical deterioration and was diagnosed with thromboembolic disease (deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary thromboembolism). After the complication, there was initial subjective improvement with subsequent deterioration. FEV1 improved by 36.2% but air trapping was similar with a 0.8% reduction in RV (40 ml) and a 1.9% (150 ml increase) increase in TLC. Therefore, after one year without improvement, we decided to apply thermal vapour treatment on the apical segment of the right upper lobe with 8.5 cal/g. After one month, the patient presented a great subjective improvement in dyspnoea (Dyspnoea I mMRC), increase 29.8% FEV1 and decrease in air trapping (Decrease 450 ml TLC and 720 ml RV). Neither of the two cases presented complications after the second ELVR.

The use of thermal vapour treatment adjuvant to valve placement in patients without improvement after the first endoscopic volume reduction treatment is a therapeutic option to consider. We have obtained good results without any incidence in these first two cases, but a large study is recommended to verify the effectiveness and possible adverse effects of this technique.

Table 1
Functional tests.

	Before ELVR L (%)	After ELVR L (%)	After thermal vapor L (%)
CASO 1			
FEV1	0.61 (31%)	0.68 (34%)	0.68 (35%)
RV	4.03 (201%)	3.4 (201%)	2.65 (130%)
TLC	6.03 (126%)	5.56 (116%)	5.53 (116%)
CASO 2			
FEV1	0.69 (30%)	0.94 (26%)	1.22 (47%)
RV	4.91 (188%)	4.87 (185%)	4.15 (156%)
TLC	7.78 (121%)	7.93 (123%)	7.48 (116%)

Funding

This study did not receive any type of funding.

Conflict of interests

The authors state that they have no conflict of interests.

References

1. Agustí A, Celli BR, Criner GJ, Halpin D, Anzueto A, Barnes P, et al. Global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease 2023 report: GOLD executive summary. *Eur Respir J*. 2023;61:2300239.
2. Cosío BG, Hernández C, Chiner E, Gimeno-Santos E, Pleguezuelos E, Seijas N, et al. Spanish COPD guidelines (GesEPOC 2021): non-pharmacological treatment update. *Arch Bronconeumol*. 2022;58:345–51.
3. Fishman A, Martinez F, Naunheim K, Piantadosi S, Wise R, Ries A, et al. A randomized trial comparing lung-volume-reduction surgery with medical therapy for severe emphysema. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348:2059–73, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa030287>. Epub 2003 May 20. PMID: 12759479.
4. Welling JBA, Hartman JE, Augustijn SWS, Kerstjens HAM, Vanfleteren LEGW, Klooster K, et al. Patient selection for bronchoscopic lung volume reduction [published correction appears in *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2020 May 27;15:1179–80]. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2020;15:871–81, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/COPD.S240848>. Published 2020 Apr 23.
5. Hartman JE, Roodenburg SA, van Dijk M, Koster TD, Klooster K, Slebos DJ. Response to endobronchial valve treatment: it's all about the target lobe. *ERJ Open Res*. 2023;9:00155–2023, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00155-2023>. Published 2023 Jul 17.
6. Shah PL, Herth FJ, van Geffen WH, Deslee G, Slebos DJ. Lung volume reduction for emphysema. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2017;5:147–56, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600\(16\)30221-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(16)30221-1). Epub 2016 Sep 29. Erratum in: *Lancet Respir Med*. 2016 Nov;4(11):e55. PMID: 27693408.
7. Dooms C, Blondeel A, Ceulemans LJ, Coolen J, Everaerts S, Demeyer H, et al. Lung volume reduction in emphysema: a pragmatic prospective cohort study [published correction appears in *ERJ Open Res*. 2021 Jun 21;7(2)]. *ERJ Open Res*. 2021;7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00877-2020>, 00877–2020. Published 2021 May 31.
8. Gompelmann D, Eberhardt R, Schuhmann M, Valipour A, Shah PL, Herth FJ, et al. Lung volume reduction with vapor ablation in the presence of incomplete fissures: 12-month results from the STEP-UP randomized controlled study. *Respiration*. 2016;92:397–403, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000452424>.
9. Roetting M, Gompelmann D, Herth FJF. Thermic and chemical procedures for bronchoscopic lung volume reduction. *J Thorac Dis*. 2018;10 Suppl. 23:S2806–10, <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2018.05.123>.
10. Zhi L, Liao L, Wu Z, Wang T, Ye Y, Li H, et al. Impact of bronchoscopic thermal vapor ablation on lung volume reduction in patients with emphysema: a meta-analysis. *BMC Pulm Med*. 2023;23:405, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12890-023-02689-w>. Published 2023 Oct 26.
11. Agrawal S, Gupta N, Gonuguntla HK, Gonuguntla HK. Evidence-based review of bronchoscopic lung volume reduction. *Adv Respir Med*. 2021;89:43–8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5603/ARM.a2020.0172>.
12. Roodenburg SA, Klooster K, Slebos DJ, Hartman JE. The impact of emphysema heterogeneity on treatment response after endobronchial valve treatment. *ERJ Open Res*. 2023;9:00279–2023, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00279-2023>. Published 2023 Aug 29.
13. Fessler HE, Scharf SM, Ingenito EP, McKenna RJ Jr, Sharafkhaneh A. Physiologic basis for improved pulmonary function after lung volume reduction. *Proc Am Thorac Soc*. 2008;5:416–20, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1513/pats.200708-117ET>.
14. Herth FJ, Valipour A, Shah PL, Eberhardt R, Grah C, Egan J, et al. Segmental volume reduction using thermal vapour ablation in patients with severe emphysema: 6-month results of the multicentre, parallel-group, open-label, randomised controlled STEP-UP trial. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2016;4:185–93, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600\(16\)00045-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(16)00045-X).
15. Guibert N, Fumat R, Dupuis M, Dusselier M, Favard F, Heluain V, et al. Bilateral endobronchial valves treatment for severe emphysema. *ERJ Open Res*. 2022;8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00672-2021>, 00672–2021. Published 2022 Apr 11.

Selene Cuenca Peris*, Raquel Martínez Tomás,
Andrés Briones Gómez, Enrique Cases Viedma

Unidad de Endoscopias Respiratorias y Neumología Intervencionista,
Hospital Universitario y Politécnico La Fe, Valencia, Spain

Corresponding author.

E-mail address: selenecp@gmail.com (S. Cuenca Peris).