Association of β_2 -adrenergic agonists and tiotropium: is the combination justified?

M. Cazzola^a, M.G. Matera^b and K.M. O'Donnell^c

^aUnit of Pneumology and Allergology. Department of Respiratory Medicine. A. Cardarelli Hospital. Naples. ^bUnit of Pharmacology. Department of Experimental Medicine. Second University. Naples. Italy. ^cUnit of Respiratory Medicine. Department of Internal Medicine. University of Rome Tor Vergata, Rome. Italy,

Introduction

Current treatment for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is aimed at increasing airflow, decreasing respiratory symptoms (particularly dyspnea), decreasing exacerbations, and improving the quality of life. Consequently, it not surprising that both the Global Initiative for Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) guidelines¹, and the recent American Thoracic Society (ATS)/European Respiratory Society (ERS) position paper for the diagnosis and treatment of patients with COPD² emphasize the role of bronchodilators in symptomatic management of all stages of COPD.

There are two primary pharmacologic classes of inhaled bronchodilators with different mechanisms of action which are used in the treatment of COPD: β_2 -adrenoceptor (β_2 -AR) agonists and quarternary ammonium anticholinergic agents³, which can be either short-acting (e.g., salbutamol, terbutaline, ipratropium or oxitropium) or long-acting (e.g., formoterol, salmeterol, or tiotropium). Both the GOLD Update and the ATS/ERS position paper recommend, for moderate-to-very severe COPD, use of regular treatment with long-acting bronchodilators rather than short acting bronchodilators, with the choice depending on the availability of medication and the patient's response^{1,2}.

For patients whose conditions are not sufficiently controlled by monotherapy, these guidelines highlight that combining medications of different classes, in particular an inhaled anticholinergic with a β_2 -AR agonist, seems a convenient way of delivering treatment and obtaining better results^{1,2}. This includes better lung function and improved symptoms.

Rationale for combining β_2 -adrenoceptor agonists and anticholinergic agents

Postganglionic, parasympathetic-cholinergic nerves innervate the airways. When activated, these nerves are capable of obliterating the lumen of small bronchi and bronchioles, and markedly increasing airway resistance

Correspondencia: M. Cazzola.

Via del Parco Margherita, 24. 80121 Napoli. Italy. E-mail: mcazzola@qubisoft.it

in larger, cartilaginous airways, by secretion of the bronchoconstricting mediator acetylcholine (ACh), which causes activation of muscarinic receptors at the level of the target cells, such as bronchial smooth muscle and goblet cells⁴. Conversely, sympathetic nerves may control tracheobronchial blood vessels, but no innervation of human airway smooth muscle has been demonstrated. β_2 -ARs, however, are abundantly expressed on human airway smooth muscle and activation of these receptors causes its relaxation⁵.

Bronchodilatation may, therefore, be obtained either by stimulating the β_2 -ARs with β_2 -AR agonists, or by inhibiting the action of ACh at muscarinic receptors with anticholinergic agents. In any case, anticholinergics are more likely to decrease central airway resistance, although there are muscarinic receptors that are expressed in the smooth muscle of small airways which do not appear to be innervated by cholinergic nerves, and β_2 -AR agonists have a greater effect on peripheral airway resistance in patients with COPD⁶. It is reasonable to postulate that attempts to reduce bronchoconstriction through two distinct mechanisms (anticholinergic and sympathomimetic) with a different prevalent site of action may maximize bronchodilator response.

Interestingly, the presence of small dense-cored vesicles containing adrenergic nerve varicosities, occasionally in close proximity to morphologically characteristic cholinergic nerve-endings, has been identified in human airways⁷, suggesting that catecholamines might modulate cholinergic neurotransmission (fig. 1). However, different studies have led to dissimilar conclusions. Early research papers based on force measurement alone suggested that stimulation of β_2 -ARs inhibits cholinergic neurotransmission⁸, most probably by the release of inhibitory prostaglandins from the airway mucosa9. However, interpretation of these data is seriously hampered by the large postjunctional effects of β_2 -AR agonists. Zhang et al¹⁰ were the first to report an excitatory β_2 -AR in airway parasympathetic nerves. After their report in studies on the horse, this receptor was subsequently reported in guinea pigs¹¹ and in human airway parasympathetic nerves¹². Activation of β_2 -ARs by isoproterenol, by the racemic mixture of specific β_2 -AR agonists such as salbutamol and formoterol or by R-

enantiomers of β_2 -agonists can increase ACh release in a concentration-dependent manner¹³. Consistent with the results of β_2 -AR stimulation¹⁴, direct activation of the β_2 -AR-coupled G_s protein by cholera toxin, which increases the activity of adenylyl cyclase, caused an increase in ACh release in epithelium-denuded guinea pig trachealis¹¹. In airway smooth muscle cells, however, stimulation of G_s protein directly opens large Ca²⁺-activated potassium (K_{Ca}) channels¹⁵, which has been found to decrease ACh release in guinea pig trachealis¹⁶. Recently, Brichetto et al¹⁷ have confirmed that β_2 -AR agonists attenuate cholinergic neurotransmission in the isolated bovine trachealis model and this happens by a mechanism not involving cAMP but K_{Ca} channels.

Whatever the type of interaction between the two systems may be, combining β_2 -AR agonists and anticholinergic agents is pharmacologically useful. In fact, in the first case, the addition of a β_2 -AR agonist decreases the release of ACh because of the modulation of cholinergic neurotransmission by prejunctional β_2 -ARs and, consequently, amplifies the bronchial smooth muscle relaxation directly induced by the anticholinergic agent. On the contrary, in the second circumstance, the addition of an anticholinergic agent can reduce the peripheral bronchoconstrictor effects of ACh, whose release has been facilitated by the β_2 -AR agonist, and in this manner can amplify the bronchodilation elicited by the β_2 -AR agonist through the direct stimulation of smooth muscle β_2 -ARs.

Combination therapy with ipratropium and a β_2 -agonist

It has been documented that standard doses of short acting β_2 -agonists do not give optimal results in patients with COPD and that an anticholinergic agent gives additional bronchodilation¹⁸. In addition, the reproducibility of responsiveness to bronchodilators in patients with COPD is improved when the pulmonary function test is performed using a combination of ipratropium and salbutamol¹⁸. In effect, although a few articles question the value of combination therapy with ipratropium bromide and a β_2 -AR agonist^{19,20}, several large trials suggest that the two drugs, both at low doses²¹ and at high doses^{22,23}, have complementary, or additive, bronchodilator actions without any increase in the incidence of adverse reactions, which makes them an excellent combination for the treatment of COPD. The inclusion of a second agent of a different class in a pharmacologic treatment regimen is associated with a lower rate of exacerbations in COPD²⁴. The overall result is lower total treatment costs and improved cost-effectiveness.

The introduction of long acting β_2 -AR agonist bronchodilators gives physicians additional therapeutic options for COPD. Both salmeterol and formoterol appear to be more effective than short acting β_2 -AR agonists²⁵ and in patients with stable COPD they are more effective than anticholinergic agents²⁶⁻²⁸.

Two published studies that had evaluated small cohorts suggested that there is no substantial additive effect when a long-acting β_2 -AR agonist is combined with



Fig. 1. Schematic presentation of the potential alternative role of β_2 -adrenoceptor (AR) in the pre-synaptic control of acetylcholine (ACh) release from airway parasympathetic nerve endings. A: circulating adrenaline; β_2 -AR: β_2 -adrenoceptor; M_1 and M_3 : muscarinic M_1 or M_3 receptors; (\neq : neuronal activity; \rightarrow : stimulatory effect; (\ddagger : inhibitory effect.

ipratropium bromide given acutely at the clinically recommended dose (40 μ g) in patients with COPD^{29,30}, but it must be stressed that the dose of ipratropium bromide needed to produce near maximal bronchodilation is several times higher than the customary dosage³¹. In fact, the results of some studies suggest that higher than normal doses of an anticholinergic drug must be used for further relief of bronchospasm in patients with COPD when a single conventional inhaled dose of formoterol³² or salmeterol³³ is given first.

In any case, van Noord et al³⁴ demonstrated that a 12week treatment with salmeterol 50 μ g twice daily plus ipratropium bromide 40 μ g four times daily was more effective than salmeterol 50 μ g twice daily in improving forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) and specific airway conductance. This study was the first to point out that the association of a long acting β_2 -AR agonist and an anticholinergic agent is useful in the long term therapy of stable COPD.

Subsequently, D'Urzo et al³⁵ not only confirmed this therapeutic possibility, but even documented that the addition of formoterol (12 µg twice daily) to ipratropium bromide (40 µg four times a day) is more effective than the addition of salbutamol (200 µg four times a day) in patients with COPD who required combined bronchodilator therapy. This finding clearly indicates that long-acting β_2 -AR agonists may represent the most effective option for combination therapy with an antimuscarinic agent.

The functional impact of combining long-acting bronchodilators

Clinical studies show that tiotropium administered 18 μ g once daily improves lung function over its 24-h dosing interval, as shown by FEV₁, forced vital capacity



Fig. 2. Mean changes (± SE) in FEV₁ (l) from pre-dosing value on each of the dosing days up to 90 min (A) and up to 24 h (B) after inhalation of formoterol 12 µg (FOR), tiotropium 18 µg (TIO), and tiotropium 18 µg + formoterol 12 µg (TIO + FOR), *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001 versus baseline. (Data from Cazzola et al⁴².)

(FVC), peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) and measures of hyperinflation and provides superior spirometric improvements compared with ipratropium 40 µg four times daily³⁶. Considering this important finding, in an elegant review in which the pharmacological actions of the long-acting β_2 -AR agonists and a long-acting muscarinic antagonist (tiotropium bromide) were summarized, Tennant et al³⁷ highlighted the need for investigating the combination of tiotropium bromide with a long-acting β_2 -AR agonist. Afterwards, Tashkin and Cooper³⁸, using the traditional method of integrating research studies that, unfortunately, does not allow to determine if the differences between the study outcomes are due to chance, to inadequate study methods or to systematic differences in the characteristics of the studies, emphasized the advantage of tiotropium on long-acting β_2 -agonists, and suggested adding salmeterol or formoterol to tiotropium bromide, at least in patients suffering from COPD with more severe symptoms (stage III or IV of the GOLD classification¹. However, Cazzola and Matera³⁹ in an accompanving editorial comment highlighted that no published study has documented the superiority of tiotropium over formoterol, although two studies, specifically designed to explore the potential differences between tiotropium and salmeterol, seem to indicate a greater efficacy of tiotropium than long-acting β_2 -AR agonists^{40,41}. The different pharmacodynamic profile of formoterol when compared to salmeterol²⁵ might induce a different type of broncholytic effect, mainly if one considers onset of action or peak bronchodilation. This may lead to a different conclusion when comparing formoterol with salmeterol. Moreover, it is not known if the combination of a long-acting β_2 -AR agonist and a long-acting antimuscarinic agent provides further advantages in terms of bronchodilation over either drug alone, nor if the choice of the specific long-acting β_2 -agonist to be used is trivial.

Acute functional effect of combining formoterol or salmeterol and tiotropium

Some studies have tried to give an answer to these questions. A pilot investigational trial⁴², which enrolled 20 outpatients clinically diagnosed with stable COPD and a mean baseline FEV₁ of 0.871 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.70-1.04) and FVC of 1.49 1 (95% CI, 1.30-1.69), showed that 12 μ g formoterol, either alone or in combination with 18 µg tiotropium, elicited a significantly faster onset of action (the change in FEV, 10 min after inhalation of formoterol alone [0.088 l; 95% CI, 0.049-0.127] was greater than that induced by tiotropium alone [0.039 l; 95% CI, 0.006-0.071], but not than that elicited by formoterol + tiotropium [0.085 l; 95% CI, 0.044-0.126]) (fig. 2 A). Moreover, this study also documented a trend for a greater maximum bronchodilation with combination than with formoterol or tiotropium alone (the mean maximum increases in FEV₁ from pre-dosing value on each of the dosing days were 0.192 1 [95% CI, 0.125-0.259] for formoterol, 0.1761 [95% CI, 0.100-0.253] for tiotropium, and 0.210 1 (95% CI, 0.158-0.261) for the combination and occurred two hours after formoterol and three hours after inhalation of tiotropium and the combination, but the difference between treatments was not significant [p = 0.475]) (fig. 2 B). At twenty four hours, mean FEV, continued to be significantly higher than pre-dosing value following tiotropium (0.084 l; 95% CI, 0.003-0.134; p = 0.003) and formoterol + tiotropium 0.088 1; 95% CI, 0.002-0.173; p = 0.045), but did not achieve significance for formoterol alone (0.058 1; 95% CI, 0.000-0.117; p = 0.051) (fig. 2 B). However, at this time point, the differences between treatments were not significant (p = 0.731). The failure to show a statistically significant difference between treatments when we explored the maximum bronchodilation and the duration of action was likely associated with an insufficient statistical power in the study. We believe that there was a possibility of a type II error, which supported the lack of significance that we have repeatedly observed. It is possible that a study with a larger sample would achieve statistical significance.

The results of this study indicate that formoterol and tiotropium have different profiles (formoterol has a faster onset of action and greater bronchodilating effect, tiotropium has a longer duration of action, which allows for once daily administration) that make both agents attractive alternatives in the treatment of stable COPD. Moreover, the two drugs appear complementary: tiotropium ensures prolonged bronchodilation, whereas formoterol adds fast onset and a greater peak effect. However, because formoterol is given twice daily, but tiotropium is required only once daily, and results of our study do not allow suggesting the once daily dosing of formoterol, the challenge is to develop a combined inhaler that can be employed on a daily basis³⁷. The pharmacodynamic characteristics of salmeterol might permit the once daily contemporaneous administration of the two drugs that could simplify the therapy³⁹.

In a further study⁴³ that has enrolled 20 outpatients with stable COPD and a mean baseline FEV, of 1.10 l (95% CI, 0.91-1.29) and FVC of 1.85 1 (95% CI, 1.62-2.07), single doses of 18 µg tiotropium, 50 µg salmeterol, and 18 μ g tiotropium + 50- μ g salmeterol were given. The change in FEV_1 30 min after inhalation of salmeterol alone (0.058 l; 95% CI, 0.032-0.083) was greater than that induced by tiotropium alone (0.037 l; 95% CI, 0.013-0.062), but not than that elicited by tiotropium + salmeterol (0.121 l; 95% CI, 0.073-0.170) (fig. 3 A). The difference between the improvement after salmeterol and that after tiotropium was not statistically significant (p = 0.231), but the differences between the improvement after tiotropium + salmeterol and that after tiotropium alone and salmeterol alone were statistically significant (p = 0.014 and p = 0.026, respectively). The mean maximum increases in FEV₁ from pre-dosing value on each of the dosing days were 0.165 1 (95% CI, 0.098-0.232) for tiotropium, 0.241 1 (95% CI, 0.151-0.332) for salmeterol, and 0.290 l (95% CI, 0.228-0.353) for the combination and occurred four hours after inhalation of tiotropium or salmeterol and three hours after that of the combination (fig. 3 B). At twenty four hours, the mean FEV₁ value was still higher than the mean pre-dosing value for tiotropium (0.042 l; 95% CI, -0.012 to 0.097; p = 0.119) and the tiotropium + salmeterol combination (0.051 l; 95% CI, 0.015-0.087; p = 0.008), but not for salmeterol alone (-0.013) 1; 95% CI, -0.041 to 0.014; p = 0.324) (fig. 3 B). At this time point, the differences between tiotropium and salmeterol and between salmeterol and tiotropium + salmeterol, but not that between tiotropium and tiotropium + salmeterol, were statistically significant (p = 0.010, p = 0.006, and p = 0.752, respectively).

These findings support the possibility of combining tiotropium and salmeterol in patients suffering from stable COPD, but exclude the once-daily co-administration of the two drugs. The potential of salmeterol to increase its onset of action when combined with tiotropium is worthy of attention considering that both agents elicit a slow onset of action^{44,45}. Ethier et al⁴⁶ documented that at the lowest concentration of salmeterol needed to maximally stimulate cAMP accumulation, this long-acting β_2 -AR agonist antagonized muscarinic inhibition of cAMP accumulation and altered agonist binding to muscarinic receptors in bovine trachealis cells. Because salmeterol altered agonist, but not antagonist, binding and appeared to have an effect on the proportion of high



Fig. 3. Mean changes (± SE) in FEV₁ (l) from pre-dosing value on each of the dosing days up to 90 min (A) and up to 24 h (B) after inhalation of salmeterol 50 µg (SALM), tiotropium 18 µg (TIO), and salmeterol 50 µg + tiotropium 18 µg (SALM + TIO), ^{*}p < 0.05; ^{**}p < 0.01; ^{***}p < 0.001 versus baseline. (Data from Cazzola et al⁴³.)

affinity binding sites, Ethier et al⁴⁶ speculated that salmeterol has a low affinity binding interaction with the muscarinic receptor/G-protein complex itself or reversibly alters the plasma membrane environment surrounding the complex. In any case, these effects of salmeterol did not depend on stimulation of β_2 -ARs. If this finding were also true for human airways, we could speculate that salmeterol reduces the bronchospastic activity of endogenous ACh, without influencing the effect of tiotropium. Salmeterol has also high affinity for muscarinic receptors⁴⁷ and this indicates a potential for amplifying the action of tiotropium. However, the question of whether high local concentrations after inhalation of salmeterol could contribute to its therapeutic effects by antagonism with ACh on muscarinic receptors remains to be answered⁴⁷.

Functional effect of a regular treatment with formoterol or salmeterol and tiotropium

Although these results indicate that a combination of tiotropium and a long-acting β -AR agonist is more effective than single drugs alone in inducing bronchodilation in patients suffering from COPD, there is a funda-





Fig. 4. Tiotropium 18 μ g once daily (TIO qd), tiotropium 18 μ g once daily + add-on formoterol 12 μ g once daily (TIO + FORM qd) and tiotropium 18 μ g once daily + add-on formoterol 12 μ g twice daily (TIO + FORM bid) FEV₁ AUCs calculated using the trapezoidal rule divided by the corresponding observation time to report in ml units. ^ap < 0.05 versus formoterol; ^bp < 0.05 versus tiotropium. (Data from van Noord et al⁴⁹.)

mental aspect of these studies, the evaluation of the impact of the three treatments only after acute administration, that must be taken into account. Acute administration is, in fact, a potential bias because it is well known that FEV_1 steady state with tiotropium is reached within forty eight hours, while continued improvements in FVC can be expected over or beyond the first week of therapy⁴⁸. The progressive increases in FVC beyond forty eight hours suggest that maintenance bronchodilator therapy is required to achieve maximal changes in hyperinflation.

Data of a trial⁴⁹ that compared the lung function response of the free combination of 18 µg tiotropium plus 12 μ g formoterol once daily with 18 μ g tiotropium once daily and 12 µg formoterol twice daily, with all treatments administered for 6-week periods, in 66 patients with moderate-to-severe stable COPD, documented that the free combination of once daily tiotropium plus formoterol was better than either of the single drugs for most of the spirometric endpoints. Tiotropium once daily was superior to formoterol twice daily during the daytime. However, during the night-time, tiotropium and formoterol provided similar bronchodilation. In particular, the average FEV₁ area under the curve (AUC)_{0-24h} was 85 ml after tiotropium, 62 ml after formoterol and 160 ml after tiotropium + formoterol, the average $FEV_1 AUC_{0.12h}$ was 127 ml after tiotropium, 86 ml after formoterol and 234 ml after tiotropium + formoterol, whereas the average FEV₁ AUC_{12-24h} was 43 ml after tiotropium, 38 ml after formoterol and 86 ml after tiotropium + formoterol (fig. 4). All FEV₁ AUC after tiotropium + formoterol were significantly (p < 0.05)larger than that of tiotropium or formoterol alone. The daytime, but not the night-time, use of rescue salbutamol was significantly reduced in patients receiving the combination of tiotropium + formoterol (p < 0.05 ver-



Fig. 5. Tiotropium 18 µg once daily (TIO qd), formoterol 12 µg twice daily (FORM bid) and tiotropium 18 µg + formoterol 12 µg once daily (TIO + FORM qd) FEV₁ AUCs calculated using the trapezoidal rule divided by the corresponding observation time to report in ml units. ^ap < 0.05 versus formoterol; ^bp < 0.05 versus tiotropium. (Data from van Noord et al⁵¹.)

sus either drug alone). The documentation that tiotropium was more active than formoterol in davtime but not in night-time was, in our opinion, in agreement with the fact that the activity in the sympathetic system appears to be prominent during the day as reflected by the peak located around noon of the urinary catecholamine excretion, whereas the vagal system appears to be prominent during the remainder of the day⁵⁰. In any case, these results indicate that once daily combination therapy of tiotropium + formoterol is safe and provides significant additive effects in patients with moderate-tosevere COPD. Moreover, they suggest that once daily administration of the two drugs could be a possibility in the treatment of stable COPD. A bronchodilator-mediated symptom benefit of the once daily combination is also reflected in significant decrease in salbutamol use as rescue therapy.

However, another trial that explored tiotropium maintenance therapy in 91 patients with COPD and the twenty four hour spirometric benefit of adding once or twice daily formoterol during two-week treatment periods⁵¹, documented that add-on therapy of a second formoterol dose significantly (p < 0.05) improved FEV₁ and FVC variables when compared with tiotropium + formoterol once daily, although most of the spirometric add-on benefit was found with the morning formoterol dose. The average $FEV_1 AUC_{0.24h}$ was 80 ml after tiotro-pium, 162 ml after tiotropium + formoterol once daily and 198 ml after tiotropium + formoterol twice daily, the average $FEV_1 AUC_{0-12h}$ was 125 ml after tiotropium, 238 ml after tiotropium + formoterol once daily and 241 ml after tiotropium + formoterol twice daily, whereas the average $\tilde{\text{FEV}}_1 \text{ AUC}_{12-24h}$ was 37 ml after tiotropium, 89 ml after tiotropium + formoterol once daily and 156 ml after tiotropium + formoterol twice daily (fig. 5). FEV₁ AUC_{12-24h} of tiotropium + formoterol twice daily value was significantly (p < 0.05) different from that of tiotropium + formoterol once daily. Inspiratory capacity (IC), which is an index of hyperinflation, showed a circadian rhythm during both the baseline and the treatment periods. The improvements in IC with both tiotropium alone and when combined with formoterol paralleled those in FVC. Use of salbutamol as rescue therapy was comparable during add-on therapy of formoterol once daily and formoterol twice daily.

The best strategy for adding long-acting β_2 -agonists and long-acting anticholinergics

These findings raise an important question. What is the best strategy for adding long-acting β_2 -AR agonists and long-acting anticholinergics in COPD? Some studies have examined various strategies for adding shortacting β_2 -AR agonists and anticholinergics in COPD. Unfortunately, they have provided conflicting results. Rennard⁵² has correctly stressed that this may depend on the nature of the circumstances of the patient at the time when the study was carried out and may depend on the design by which the studies were conducted and the drugs administered.

In one of our previous trials, which was the first to our knowledge that compared a long-acting β_2 -AR agonist and an anticholinergic agent given by sequential inhalation at the recommended dosages, we documented that the sequential administration of formoterol and oxitropium bromide induced an improvement in pulmonary function in a population of COPD patients similar to that examined in the present trial⁵³. However, prior administration of the long-acting β_2 -AR agonist allowed a response to the anticholinergic drug, which was higher than that observed when inhalation of oxitropium was preceded by that of formoterol.

In order to explore whether this finding is true even when tiotropium is used instead of oxitropium, we have examined the potential of an additive effect of a recommended dose of second long-acting bronchodilator (tiotropium or formoterol) in COPD patients under regular treatment with a long-acting bronchodilator of a different class (formoterol or tiotropium, respectively). We conducted a randomized, crossover trial in 20 patients with 18 µg tiotropium once daily and 12 µg formoterol twice daily over a five-day period for each drug, with a ten-day washout period⁵⁴. At the end of each period, patients inhaled both drugs separated by 180 min in alternate sequence. Thirty minutes after inhalation of the last dose of tiotropium, there was a statistically significant increase of 0.099 l (95% CI, 0.062-0.138) in FEV₁ over baseline (p < 0.0001) (fig. 6). The same was observed after inhalation of the last dose of formoterol (0.166 l; 95% CI, 0.064-0.168; p < 0.0002). The mean maximal change in FEV₁ over baseline was 0.2261(0.154-0.298)in group A (regular tiotropium and add-on formoterol) and 0.228 l (0.165-0.291) in group B (regular formoterol and add-on tiotropium). The mean maximal change in FEV₁ over pre-inhalation of the second drug value was 0.081 l (95% CI, 0.029-0.133) after tiotropium \rightarrow



Fig. 6. Mean response curves following the sequential inhalation of tiotropium (Tio) and formoterol (For) for FEV₁ and FVC. Arrow indicates the administration of the second drug. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001 in the sequence tiotropium \rightarrow formoterol. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001 in the sequence formoterol \rightarrow tiotropium. (Data from Cazzola et al⁵⁴.)

formoterol and 0.054 l (95% CI, 0.016-0.092) after formoterol \rightarrow tiotropium. The mean maximal change in FVC over baseline was 0.519 l (95% CI, 0.361-0.676) in group A and 0.495 l (95% CI, 0.307-0.683) in group B. The mean maximal change in FVC over pre-inhalation of the second drug value was 0.159 l (95% CI, 0.048-0.270) after tiotropium-formoterol and 0.175 l (95% CI, 0.083-0.266) after formoterol \rightarrow tiotropium.

These results suggest that supplementing a second different long-acting bronchodilator to a regularly administered long-acting bronchodilator seems to be to the patient's advantage in terms of bronchodilation. We cannot exclude that the greater bronchodilatory response that we observed when a second bronchodilator was given after the first one may be justified by a carry over effect, considering that both formoterol and tiotropium are long-lasting bronchodilators. Nonetheless, it is well know that the mean peak bronchodilation with both formoterol and tiotropium in COPD patients is reached after two to three hours⁴³ and we have documented that the addition of a second bronchodilator three hours after the inhalation of the first agent, could amplify the maximum bronchodilation of the first agent. This result seems to be important because it indicates the possibility

that a patient who is unable to perceive bronchodilation or must perform an exercise could use a second longacting bronchodilator that will assure a long-lasting effect. In any case, it must be highlighted that significant improvement in pulmonary function has been achieved by adding tiotropium or formoterol at the recommended dosages in patients already in regular treatment with formoterol or tiotropium, respectively, with no statistically significant difference between the different sequences. This finding supports Rennard's opinion³ that treatment can be initiated with an agent from any of the available classes. If symptomatic control is inadequate, an agent from another class can be added.

Conclusions

At present time, there is no clear documentation that tiotropium is superior to formoterol or the contrary. At the recommend doses for COPD therapy, formoterol twice daily and tiotropium once daily induce comparable night time bronchodilation after regular treatment⁴⁹.

A combination of tiotropium and a long-acting β_2 -AR agonist is more effective than single drugs alone in inducing bronchodilation and bronchodilator-mediated symptom benefit in patients suffering from COPD^{42,43,49,51}. Add-on therapy of formoterol in the morning to maintenance therapy with tiotropium significantly improves FEV₁ and IC for more than twelve hours in patients with moderate-to-severe COPD⁵¹. Add-on therapy of a second formoterol dose administered in the evening produces a further increase in average FEV₁ and IC, but not in trough IC⁵¹. All these findings support, in our opinion, the possibility of combining tiotropium and formoterol, and likely salmeterol, in patients suffering from stable COPD, but exclude the once-daily administration of the two drugs in combination within a single inhaler. It must be determined yet whether tiotropium is more effective when administered in the evening compared with morning dosing, in view of the circadian variation of bronchial tone.

Because long-acting β_2 -AR agonists are given twice daily but tiotropium bromide is required only once daily, the challenge is now to develop a combined inhaler that can be employed on a once daily basis. The incorporation of once daily dosing is an important strategy to improve compliance since it is a regime preferred by most patients.

REFERENCES

- Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease. Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, 2003 [accessed Dec 23, 2004]. Available at: http://www.goldcopd.com
- American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society. Standards for the diagnosis and management of patients with COPD [accessed Dec 23, 2004]. Available at: http://www.thoracic.org
- Rennard SI. Treatment of stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Lancet. 2004;364:791-802.
- Barnes PJ. The role of anticholinergics in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Med. 2004;117 Suppl 1:24-32.
- Canning BJ, Fischer A. Neural regulation of airway smooth muscle tone. Respir Physiol. 2001;125:113-27.
- **30** Arch Bronconeumol. 2005;41 Supl 2:24-31

- 6. Ohrui T, Yanai M, Sekiwzawa K, Morikawa M, Sasaki H, Takishima T. Effective site of bronchodilation by β-adrenergic and anticholinergic agents in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: direct measurement of intrabronchial pressure with a new catheter. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1992;146:88-91.
- Daniel EE, Kannan M, Davis C, Posey-Daniel V. Ultrastructural studies on the neuromuscular control of human tracheal and bronchial muscle. Respir Physiol. 1986;63:109-28.
- Rhoden KJ, Meldrum LA, Barnes PJ. Inhibition of cholinergic neurotransmission in human airways by β₂-adrenoceptors. J Appl Physiol. 1988;65:700-5.
- Wessler I, Reinheimer T, Brunn G, Anderson GP, Maclagan J, Racké K. β-adrenoceptors mediate inhibition of [3H]-acetylcholine release from the isolated rat and guinea-pig trachea: role of the airway mucosa and prostaglandins. Br J Pharmacol. 1994;113: 1221-30.
- Zhang XY, Olszewski MA, Robinson NE. β₂-Adrenoceptor activation augments acetylcholine release from tracheal parasympathetic nerves. Am J Physiol. 1995;268:L950-6.
- Belvisi MG, Patel HJ, Takahashi T, Barnes PJ, Giembycz MA. Paradoxical facilitation of acetylcholine release from parasympathetic nerves innervating guinea-pig trachea by isoprenaline. Br J Pharmacol. 1996;117:1413-20.
- De Haas JRA, Terpstra JS, Zaagsma J, Roffel AF. Enhancement of endogenous acetylcholine and norepinephrine release by prejunctional β₂-adrenoceptors in human isolated bronchi [abstract]. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1998;157:723A.
- 13. Zhang XY, Zhu FX, Olszewski MA, Robinson NE. Effects of enantiomers of β_2 -agonists on ACh release and smooth muscle contraction in the trachea. Am J Physiol. 1998;274:32L-8L.
- 14. De Haas JR, Terpstra JS, Van der Zwaag M, Kockelbergh PG, Roffel AF, Zaagsma J. Facilitatory β₂-adrenoceptors on cholinergic and adrenergic nerve endings of the guinea pig trachea. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 1999;276:L420-5.
- Kume H, Graziano MP, Kotlikoff MI. Stimulatory and inhibitory regulation of calcium-activated potassium channels by guanine nucleotide-binding proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1992;89: 11051-5.
- Patel HJ, Giembycz MA, Keeling JE, Barnes PJ, Belvisi MG. Inhibition of cholinergic neurotransmission in guinea pig trachea by NS1619, a putative activator of large-conductance, calcium-activated potassium channels. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 1998;286:952-8.
- Brichetto L, Song P, Crimi E, Rehder K, Brusasco V. Modulation of cholinergic responsiveness through the β-adrenoceptor signal transmission pathway in bovine trachealis. J Appl Physiol. 2003; 95:735-41.
- Dorinsky PM, Reisner C, Ferguson GT, Menjoge SS, Serby CW, Witek TJ Jr. The combination of ipratropium and albuterol optimizes pulmonary function reversibility testing in patients with COPD. Chest. 1999;115:966-71.
- 19. Easton PA, Jadue C, Dhingra S, Anthonisen NR. A comparison of the bronchodilating effects of a β -adrenergic agent (albuterol) and an anticholinergic agent (ipratropium bromide), given by aerosol alone or in sequence. N Engl J Med. 1986;315:735-9.
- LeDoux EJ, Morris JF, Temple WP, Duncan C. Standard and double dose ipratropium bromide and combined ipratropium bromide and inhaled metaproterenol in COPD. Chest. 1989;95:1013-6.
- COMBIVENT Inhalation Aerosol Study Group. In chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, a combination of ipratropium and albuterol is more effective than either agent alone. An 85-day multicenter trial. Chest. 1994;105:1411-9.
- 22. Levin DC, Little KS, Laughlin KR, Galbraith JM, Gustman PM, Murphy D, et al. Addition of anticholinergic solution prolongs bronchodilator effect of β_2 agonists in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Med. 1996;100:40S-8S.
- COMBIVENT Inhalation Solution Study Group. Routine nebulized ipratropium and albuterol together are better than either alone in COPD. Chest. 1997;112:1514-21.
- 24. Friedman M, Serby CW, Menjoge SS, Wilson JD, Hilleman DE, Witek TJ Jr. Pharmacoeconomic evaluation of a combination of ipratropium plus albuterol compared with ipratropium alone and albuterol alone in COPD. Chest. 1999;115:635-41.
- Cazzola M, Santangelo G, Piccolo A, Salzillo A, Matera MG, D'Amato G, et al. Effect of salmeterol and formoterol in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Pulm Pharmacol. 1994;7:103-7.

- Mahler DA, Donohue JF, Barbee RA, Goldman MD, Gross NJ, Wisniewski ME, et al. Efficacy of salmeterol xinafoate in the treatment of COPD. Chest. 1999;115:957-65.
- 27. Rennard SI, Anderson W, ZuWallack R, Broughton J, Bailey W, Friedman M, et al. Use of a long-acting inhaled β₂-adrenergic agonist, salmeterol xinafoate, in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2001;163:1087-92.
- Dahl R, Greefhorst LA, Nowak D, Nonikov V, Byrne AM, Thomson MH, et al. Inhaled formoterol dry powder versus ipratropium bromide in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2001;164:778-84.
- 29. Matera MG, Caputi M, Cazzola M. A combination with clinical recommended dosages of salmeterol and ipratropium is not more effective than salmeterol alone in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Respir Med. 1996;90:497-9.
- Sichletidis L, Kottakis J, Marcou S, Constantinidis TC, Antoniades A. Bronchodilatory responses to formoterol, ipratropium, and their combination in patients with stable COPD. Int J Clin Pract. 1999;53:185-8.
- Ikeda A, Nishimura K, Koyama H, Izumi T. Comparative doseresponse study of three anticholinergic agents and fenoterol using a metered dose inhaler in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Thorax. 1995;50:62-6.
- 32. Cazzola M, Matera MG, Di Perna E, Califano C, D'Amato M, Mazzarella G. Influence of higher than conventional doses of oxitropium bromide on formoterol-induced bronchodilation in COPD. Respir Med. 1999:93:909-11.
- 33. Cazzola M, Di Perna F, Centanni S, Califano C, Donner CF, D'Amato M, et al. Acute effect of pretreatment with single conventional dose of salmeterol on dose-response curve to oxitropium bromide in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Thorax. 1999;54: 1083-6.
- 34. Van Noord JA, De Munck DR, Bantje TA, Hop WC, Akveld ML, Bommer AM. Long-term treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with salmeterol and the additive effect of ipratropium. Eur Respir J. 2000;15:878-85.
- 35. D'Urzo AD, De Salvo MC, Ramírez-Rivera A, Almeida J, Sichletidis L, Rapatz G, et al. In patients with COPD, treatment with a combination of formoterol and ipratropium is more effective than a combination of salbutamol and ipratropium: a 3-week, randomized, double-blind, within-patient, multicenter study. Chest. 2001; 119:1347-56.
- Casaburi R, Conoscenti CS. Lung function improvements with once-daily tiotropium in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Med. 2004;117 Suppl 1:33-40.
- 37. Tennant RC, Erin EM, Barnes PJ, Hansel TT. Long-acting β_2 adrenoceptor agonists or tiotropium bromide for patients with COPD: is combination therapy justified? Curr Opin Pharmacol. 2003;3:270-6.
- Tashkin DP, Cooper CB. The role of long-acting bronchodilators in the management of stable COPD. Chest. 2004;125:249-59.
- Cazzola M, Matera MG. Long-acting bronchodilators are the firstchoice option for the treatment of stable COPD. Chest. 2004;125: 9-11.

- 40. Donohue JF, Van Noord JA, Bateman ED, et al. A 6-month, placebo-controlled study comparing lung function and health status changes in COPD patients treated with tiotropium or salmeterol. Chest. 2002;122:47-55.
- Brusasco V, Hodder R, Miravitlles M, Korducki L, Towse L, Kesten S. Health outcomes following treatment for six months with once daily tiotropium compared with twice daily salmeterol in patients with COPD. Thorax. 2003;58:399-404.
 Cazzola M, Di Marco F, Santus P, Boveri B, Verga M, Matera
- 42. Cazzola M, Di Marco F, Santus P, Boveri B, Verga M, Matera MG, et al. The pharmacodynamic effects of single inhaled doses of formoterol, tiotropium and their combination in patients with COPD. Pulm Pharmacol Ther. 2004;17:35-9.
- 43. Cazzola M, Centanni S, Santus P, Verga M, Mondoni M, Di Marco F, et al. The functional impact of adding salmeterol and tiotropium in patients with stable COPD. Respir Med. 2004;98:1214-21.
- 44. Takahashi T, Belvisi MG, Patel H, Ward JK, Tadjkarimi S, Yacoub MH, et al. Effect of Ba 679 BR, a novel long-acting anticholinergic agent, on cholinergic neurotransmission in guinea pig and human airways. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1994;150:1640-5.
- Anderson P, Lotvall J, Linden A. Relaxation kinetics of formoterol and salmeterol in the guinea pig trachea *in vitro*. Lung. 1996;174:159-70.
- 46. Ethier MF, Dextradeur T, Schaefer OP, Madison JM. Effects of salmeterol on muscarinic inhibition of adenylyl cyclase in bovine trachealis cells. Life Sci. 2000;67:2753-8.
- 47. Teschemacher A, Reinhardt D, Lemoine H. Do parasympatholytic effects of long-acting β_2 -sympathomimetics contribute to their relaxant effects in airway smooth muscle cells? Pulm Pharmacol Ther. 1998;11:253-61.
- Van Noord JA, Smeets JJ, Custers FL, Korducki L, Cornelissen PJ. Pharmacodynamic steady state of tiotropium in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Eur Respir J. 2002;19: 639-44.
- 49. Van Noord JA, Aumann J, Janssens E, Mueller A, Cornelissen PJG. Comparison of once daily tiotropium, twice daily formoterol and the free combination, once daily, in patients with COPD [abstract]. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2003;167 Suppl 7:320A.
- Gaultier C, Reinberg A, Girard E Circadian rhythms in lung resistance and dynamic lung compliance of healthy children. Effects of two bronchodilatators. Respir Physiol. 1977;31:169-82.
- 51. Van Noord JA, Aumann J, Janssens E, Folgering H, Mueller A, Cornelissen PJG. Tiotropium maintenance therapy in patients with COPD and the 24-h spirometric benefit of adding once or twice daily formoterol during 2-week treatment periods [abstract]. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2003;167 Suppl 7:95A.
- Rennard SI. Combination bronchodilator therapy in COPD. Chest. 1995;107 Suppl:171-5.
- 53. Cazzola M, Di Perna F, Califano C, Vinciguerra A, D'Amato M. Incremental benefit of adding oxitropium bromide to formoterol in patients with stable COPD. Pulm Pharmacol Ther. 1999;12:267-71.
- 54. Cazzola M, Noschese P, Salzillo A, De Giglio C, D'Amato G, Matera MG. Bronchodilator response to formoterol after regular tiotropium or to tiotropium after regular formoterol in COPD patients. Respir Med. In press 2005.